# physical<sub>v</sub>alidationDocumentation Release 1.0.5

Pascal T. Merz, Michael R. Shirts

Jan 02, 2024

# **USER GUIDE:**

1	Introduction	3
2	Installation           2.1         pip	<b>5</b> 5 5 5
3	Simulation data	7
4	Kinetic energy validation4.1Full system distribution validation4.2Equipartition validation	<b>9</b> 9 10
5	Ensemble validation         5.1       Choice of the state points	<b>11</b> 11
6	Integrator Validation         6.1       Functions         6.2       Example	<b>13</b> 13 13
7	Kinetic energy distribution	15
8	Ensemble check         8.1       Check NVT simulations         8.2       Check NPT simulations         8.3       Check μVT simulations	<b>19</b> 19 22 25
9	Kinetic energy equipartition9.1Check solute from solvated simulation	<b>29</b> 29 32
10	Integrator validation	37
11	Check ensemble of OpenMM temperature replica exchange simulations	41
12	Creation of SimulationData objects12.1Create SimulationData objects from python data12.2Package-specific instructions12.3Flatfile parser12.4Additional examples	<b>47</b> 47 48 50 51

13	Data	contained in SimulationData objects	53
	13.1	Units: SimulationData.units of type UnitData	53
	13.2	Ensemble: SimulationData.ensemble of type EnsembleData	54
	13.3	System: SimulationData.system of type SystemData	54
	13.4	Observables: SimulationData.observables of type ObservableData	55
	13.5	Atom trajectories: SimulationData.trajectory of type TrajectoryData	56
	13.6	Time step: SimulationData.dt of type float	56
14	physi	cal_validation package	57
	14.1	physical_validation.kinetic_energy module	57
	14.2	physical_validation.ensemble module	60
	14.3	physical_validation.integrator module	61
15	physi	cal_validation.data subpackage	63
	15.1	physical_validation.data.simulation_data module	63
	15.2	physical_validation.data.unit_data module	65
	15.3	physical_validation.data.ensemble_data module	67
	15.4	physical_validation.data.trajectory_data module	67
	15.5	physical_validation.data.observable_data module	68
	15.6	physical_validation.data.system_data module	69
	15.7	physical_validation.data.parser module	71
	15.8	physical_validation.data.gromacs_parser module	71
	15.9	physical_validation.data.flatfile_parser module	72
16	physi	cal_validation.util subpackage	75
	16.1	physical_validation.util.kinetic_energy module	75
	16.2	physical_validation.util.ensemble module	81
	16.3	physical_validation.util.integrator module	84
	16.4	physical_validation.util.trajectory module	84
	16.5	physical_validation.util.plot module	85
	16.6	physical_validation.util.error module	85
	16.7	physical_validation.util.gromacs_interface module	85
17	Indic	tes and tables	87
Bil	bliogra	aphy	89
Py	thon N	Module Index	91
Inc	dex		93

physical\_validation is a package aimed at testing results obtained by molecular dynamics simulations for their physical validity.

**Note:** The physical validation methodology has been described in Merz PT, Shirts MR (2018), Testing for physical validity in molecular simulations. PLoS ONE 13(9): e0202764. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202764

**Note:** We are always looking to enlarge our set of tests. If you are a MD user or developer and have suggestions for physical validity tests missing in this package, we would love to hear from you! Please consider getting in touch with us via our github repository.

# INTRODUCTION

Advances in recent years have made molecular dynamics (MD) simulations a powerful tool in molecular-level research, allowing the prediction of experimental observables in the study of systems such as proteins, drug targets or membranes. The quality of any prediction based on MD results will, however, strongly depend on the validity of underlying physical assumptions.

This package is intended to help detect (sometimes hard-to-spot) unphysical behavior of simulations, which may have statistically important influence on their results. It is part of a two-fold approach to increase the robustness of molecular simulations.

First, it empowers users of MD programs to test the physical validity on their respective systems and setups. The tests range from simple post-processing analysis to more involved tests requiring additional simulations. These tests can significantly increase the reliability of MD simulations by catching a number of common simulation errors violating physical assumptions, such as non-conservative integrators, deviations from the specified Boltzmann ensemble, or lack of ergodicity between degrees of freedom. To make usage as easy as possible, parsers for the outputs of several popular MD programs are provided

Second, it can be integrated in MD code testing environments. While unphysical behavior can be due to poor or incompatible choices of parameters by the user, it can also originate in coding errors within the program. Physical validation tests can be integrated in the code-checking mechanism of MD software packages to facilitate the detection of such bugs. The physical\_validation package is currently used in the automated code-testing facility of the GROMACS software package, ensuring that every major releases passes a number of physical sanity checks performed on selected representative systems before shipping.

Note: The physical validation tests have been described in [Merz2018].

**Note:** We are always looking to enlarge our set of tests. If you are a MD user or developer and have suggestions for physical validity tests missing in this package, we would love to hear from you! Please consider getting in touch with us via our github repository.

TWO

## **INSTALLATION**

## 2.1 pip

The most recent release of physical\_validation can be installed from PyPI via pip

```
pip install physical_validation
```

# 2.2 conda

The most recent release of *physical\_validation* can also be installed using conda

```
conda install -c conda-forge physical_validation
```

# 2.3 Development version

The latest version is available on our github repository. You can install it via pip

pip install git+https://github.com/shirtsgroup/physical\_validation.git

# SIMULATION DATA

The data of simulations to be validated are represented by objects of the *SimulationData* type.

The *SimulationData* objects contain information about the simulation and the system. This information is collected in objects of different classes, namely:

- SimulationData.units of type UnitData: Information on the units used by the simulation program.
- SimulationData.ensemble of type EnsembleData: Information on the sampled ensemble. This includes the temperature, pressure, and chemical potential, with specific requirements depending on the ensemble specified.
- *SimulationData.system* of type *SystemData*: Information on the system (number of atoms, molecules, constraints, etc.).
- *SimulationData.observables* of type *ObservableData*: Trajectories of observables along the simulation, such as energy or volume.
- SimulationData.trajectory of type TrajectoryData: Position / velocity / force trajectories along the simulation.
- SimulationData.dt of type float: The time step at which the simulation was performed.

The different physical validation tests do not all require all data to be able to run. Each physical\_validation function checks whether the required information was provided, and raises an error if the information is insufficient. *Data contained in SimulationData objects* lists by which tests the single members of *SimulationData* are required.

The *SimulationData* objects can either be constructed directly from arrays and numbers, or (partially) automatically via parsers. The preferred way to populate *SimulationData* objects is by assigning its sub-objects explicitly with data obtained from the simulation package. Many simulation packages have a well-defined Python interface which allows to read observable, position and velocity trajectories into Python data structures. The remaining information, such as details on the simulated ensemble or the molecular system, is usually rather easy to fill in by hand. The examples in this documentation follow this model.

Please see *Creation of SimulationData objects* for more details on the *SimulationData* type and on how to create objects from results obtained from different simulation packages.

# **KINETIC ENERGY VALIDATION**

Kinetic energy validation includes testing the likelihood of a trajectory to originate from the theoretically expected gamma distribution and validating the temperature equipartition between groups of degrees of freedom. For details on the employed algorithms, please check the respective function documentations.

For both the full distribution test and the equipartition test, a strict and a non-strict version are available. They are triggered using the strict=[True|False] keyword. The strict version does a full distribution similarity analysis using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test. The K-S test returns a p-value indicating the likelihood that the sample originates from the expected distribution. Its sensitivity increases with increasing sample size, and can flag even the smallest deviations from the expected distribution at large sample sizes. When developing or implementing new temperature control algorithms in a controlled testing environment which keeps errors from other sources negligible, such a high sensibility is desirable. In other applications, however, a deviation insignificant in comparison with other sources of inaccuracies might be enough to flag long simulation trajectories of large systems as not having a gamma distribution. For example, deviations from the desired kinetic energy distribution that are smaller in magnitude than other well-controlled approximations, such as the interaction cutoff or the treatment of bond constraints, might be enough to flag large samples as not being properly distributed.

As an alternative to the strict test, the physical\_validation suite offers the non-strict version. In this case, the mean and the standard deviation of the sample are calculated and compared to the expected values. To make the test easily interpretable, two distinct temperatures  $T_{\mu}$  and  $T_{\sigma}$  are estimated from the kinetic energy distribution. They represent the temperature at which the sample mean and standard would be physically expected. An error estimate computed via bootstrapping of the provided kinetic energy samples is given for each of the temperatures, giving information on the statistical significance of the results.

For more details about the difference between the strict test and non-strict test, please see *physical\_validation*. *kinetic\_energy.distribution()*.

# 4.1 Full system distribution validation

#### 4.1.1 Function reference

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution()

### 4.1.2 Example

Kinetic energy distribution example

# 4.2 Equipartition validation

### 4.2.1 Function reference

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.equipartition()

### 4.2.2 Example

Kinetic energy equipartition example

### **ENSEMBLE VALIDATION**

As the distribution of configurational quantities like the potential energy U, the volume V or (for the grand and semigrand canonical ensembles) the number of each species  $N_i$  are in general not known analytically, testing the likelihood of a trajectory sampling a given ensemble is less straightforward than for the kinetic energy. However, generally, the *ratio* of the probability distribution between samplings of the same system generated at different state points (e.g. simulations run at at different temperatures or different pressures) is exactly known for each ensemble [Merz2018], [Shirts2013]. Providing two simulations at different state points therefore allows a validation of the sampled ensemble.

Note that the ensemble validation function is automatically inferring the correct test based on the simulation input data (such as temperature and pressure) that are given as input.

### 5.1 Choice of the state points

As the above ensemble tests require two simulations at distinct state points, the choice of interval between the two points is an important question. Choosing two state points too far apart will result in poor or zero overlap between the distributions, leading to very noisy results (due to sample errors in the tails) or a breakdown of the method, respectively. Choosing two state points very close to each others, on the other hand, makes it difficult to distinguish the slope from statistical error in the samples.

A rule of thumb states [Shirts2013] that the maximal efficiency of the method is reached when the distance between the peaks of the distributions are roughly equal to the sum of their standard deviations. For most systems with the exception of extremely small or very cold systems, it is reasonable to assume that the difference in standard deviations between the state points will be negligable. This leads to two ways of calculating the intervals:

*Using calculated standard deviations*: Given a simulation at one state point, the standard deviation of the distributions can be calculated numerically. The suggested intervals are then given by

- $\Delta T = 2k_B T^2 / \sigma_E$ , where  $\sigma_E$  is the standard deviation of the energy distribution used in the test (potential energy, enthalpy, or total energy).
- $\Delta P = 2k_B T / \sigma_V$ , where  $\sigma_V$  is the standard deviation of the volume distribution.

*Using physical observables*: The standard deviations can also be estimated using physical observables such as the heat capacity and the compressibility. The suggested intervals are then given by:

- $\Delta T = T(2k_B/C_V)^{1/2}$  (NVT), or  $\Delta T = T(2k_B/C_P)^{1/2}$  (NPT), where  $C_V$  and  $C_P$  denote the isochoric and the isobaric heat capacities, respectively.
- $\Delta P = (2k_BT/V\kappa_T)$ , where  $\kappa_T$  denotes the isothermal compressibility.

When setting verbosity >= 1 in physical\_validation.ensemble.check(), the routine is printing an estimate for the optimal spacing based on the distributions provided. Additionally, physical\_validation.ensemble. estimate\_interval() calculates the estimate given a single simulation result. This can be used to determine at which state point a simulation should be repeated in order to efficiently check its sampled ensemble.

### 5.1.1 Function reference

physical\_validation.ensemble.check()
physical\_validation.ensemble.estimate\_interval()

### 5.1.2 Example

Ensemble validation example

# **INTEGRATOR VALIDATION**

A symplectic integrator can be shown to conserve a constant of motion (such as the energy in a microcanonical simulation) up to a fluctuation that is quadratic in time step chosen. Comparing two or more constant-of-motion trajectories realized using different time steps (but otherwise unchanged simulation parameters) allows a check of the symplecticity of the integration. Note that lack of symplecticity does not necessarily imply an error in the integration algorithm, it can also hint at physical violations in other parts of the model, such as non-continuous potential functions, imprecise handling of constraints, etc.

# 6.1 Functions

physical\_validation.integrator.convergence()

# 6.2 Example

Integrator convergence example

#### SEVEN

### **KINETIC ENERGY DISTRIBUTION**

*Note: This notebook can be run locally by cloning the* Github repository. *The notebook is located in* doc/examples/kinetic\_energy\_distribution.ipynb. *Be aware that probabilistic quantities such as error estimates based on bootstrapping will differ when repeating the analysis.* 

[1]: # enable plotting in notebook
%matplotlib notebook

The results imported here are the time series of kinetic and potential energy from example simulations, which are stored in another Python file. In real-world usage, the results would either come from the Python interface of the simulation package, from flat files containing the results, or from package-specific parsers. See *SimulationData* for more details.

#### [2]: from simulation\_results import example\_simulations

import physical\_validation

In this example, we will check the distributions of two simulations of 900 water molecules simulated under NVT conditions at 298.15K. One simulation used velocity-rescale temperature coupling, the other used Berendsen temperature coupling.

```
[3]: simulation_vrescale = example_simulations.get(
    "900 water molecules, NVT at 298K with v-rescale thermostat"
)
    simulation_berendsen = example_simulations.get(
    "900 water molecules, NVT at 298K with Berendsen thermostat"
)
```

First, we will create the object containing system information. The example system used in both simulations consists of 900 water molecules which are fully constrained. For the kinetic energy distribution check, we only need information about the complete system (i.e. no per-molecule or per-atom information) so we will only fill these.

```
[4]: num_molecules = 900
  # Each water molecule has three atoms
  num_atoms_per_molecule = 3
  # Each fully constrained water molecule has three constraints
  num_constraints_per_molecule = 3
  # In this simulation, translational center of mass motion was removed
  num_constrained_translational_dof = 3
  # Rotational center of mass motion was not removed
  num_constrained_rotational_dof = 0
  system_data = physical_validation.data.SystemData(
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
natoms=num_molecules * num_atoms_per_molecule,
nconstraints=num_molecules * num_constraints_per_molecule,
ndof_reduction_tra=num_constrained_translational_dof,
ndof_reduction_rot=num_constrained_rotational_dof,
```

Next, we will create the ensemble information:

)

```
[5]: ensemble_data = physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
    ensemble="NVT",
    natoms=num_molecules * 3,
    volume=3.01125 ** 3,
    temperature=298.15,
)
```

Now we will create the simulation data objects which we will feed to the physical validation tests.

```
[6]: simulation_data_vrescale = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
        # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
        units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
        system=system_data,
         ensemble=ensemble_data.
        observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
            # This test requires only the kinetic energy
            kinetic_energy=simulation_vrescale["kinetic energy"]
        ),
    )
    simulation_data_berendsen = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
        # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
        units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
        system=system_data,
        ensemble=ensemble_data,
        observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
            # This test requires only the kinetic energy
            kinetic_energy=simulation_berendsen["kinetic energy"]
        ),
    )
```

We can now check the velocity-rescale temperature coupling, using first the strict, then the non-strict kinetic energy distribution check. We are using screen=True to display a result plot on screen (see argument filename to print that same plot to file).

```
[7]: physical_validation.kinetic_energy.distribution(
```

```
data=simulation_data_vrescale, strict=True, screen=True
```

)

After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 96.02% (4802 frames) of original. →Kinetic energy remain.

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

```
Kinetic energy distribution check (strict)
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test result: p = 0.898369
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Null hypothesis: Kinetic energy is Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed
```

#### [7]: 0.89836894520092

The strict test prints and returns a p-value which indicates that the null hypothesis stands with high confidence. The printed figure confirms that the sampled and the analytical distribution are very similar.

The non-strict test confirms this result:

```
[8]: # We turn plotting off here (`screen=False`), because the plot is
    # identical to the one in the strict test
    physical_validation.kinetic_energy.distribution(
        data=simulation_data_vrescale, strict=False, screen=False
    )
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 96.02% (4802 frames) of original.
     →Kinetic energy remain.
    Kinetic energy distribution check (non-strict)
    Analytical distribution (T=298.15 K):
     * mu: 6689.47 kJ/mol
     * sigma: 128.77 kJ/mol
    Trajectory:
     * mu: 6688.12 +- 1.88 kJ/mol
       T(mu) = 298.09 + - 0.08 K
     * sigma: 128.70 +- 1.35 kJ/mol
       T(sigma) = 297.98 +- 3.14 K
[8]: (0.714917087637526, 0.053451278986070015)
```

The non-strict test calculates the temperature of the mean and the width of the distribution. Analytically, we are expecting both to be close to 298.15K. The result confirms that the simulation behaves as expected, with both the calculated mean and variance being within one standard deviation of the analytical value. The returned tuple is the number of standard deviations the calculated mean and variance differ from the expected value - less than a standard deviations for the mean, and only about 0.05 standard deviations for the variance. Note that any deviation within about 2-3 standard deviations is usually considered as statistically insignificant.

Also note that the error estimates were computed using bootstrapping and are hence a probabilistic quantity which will slightly differ when repeating the analysis.

We can now compare this to the Berendsen temperature coupling, using first the strict, then the non-strict kinetic energy distribution check.

[9]: physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution(

```
data=simulation_data_berendsen, strict=True, screen=True
```

```
)
```

After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 95.96% (4799 frames) of original. →Kinetic energy remain.

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

```
Kinetic energy distribution check (strict)
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test result: p = 1.85798e-17
Null hypothesis: Kinetic energy is Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed
```

#### [9]: 1.8579783151867675e-17

The calculated p-value means that the trajectory is *very* unklikely to come from a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution at 298.15K. Commonly, one would consider a p-value of less than 0.05 (5% confidence interval) a reasonable cut-off, which means that the test results make it easy to reject the null hypothesis that the kinetic energy samples the correct distribution. This does not come as a suprise, the Berendsen thermostat is known to keep the correct mean temperature, but not to sample the right distribution.

```
[10]: # We turn plotting off here (`screen=False`), because the plot is
      # identical to the one in the strict test
      physical_validation.kinetic_energy.distribution(
          data=simulation_data_berendsen, strict=False, screen=False
      )
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 95.96% (4799 frames) of original
      \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
      Kinetic energy distribution check (non-strict)
      Analytical distribution (T=298.15 K):
      * mu: 6689.47 kJ/mol
       * sigma: 128.77 kJ/mol
      Trajectory:
      * mu: 6690.21 +- 1.29 kJ/mol
         T(mu) = 298.18 + - 0.06 K
       * sigma: 98.81 +- 1.01 kJ/mol
         T(sigma) = 228.78 +- 2.33 K
[10]: (0.5763182556173292, 29.80276265170178)
```

The non-strict test confirms the conclusion from the strict test, but adds another explanation of the sampled distribution. The mean is found to be within about half a standard deviation of the expected value. The width of the sampled distribution, however, is what we would expect for a simulation at about 229K. This means that especially for simulations which rely on correct sampling of the tail regions, the Berendsen temperature coupling is likely to introduce artefacts. Again, the tuple returned here could be slightly different in different trials due to its probabilistic nature.

### EIGHT

# **ENSEMBLE CHECK**

Note: This notebook can be run locally by cloning the Github repository. The notebook is located in doc/examples/ ensemble\_check.ipynb. Be aware that probabilistic quantities such as error estimates based on bootstrapping will differ when repeating the analysis.

[1]: # enable plotting in notebook
%matplotlib notebook

The results imported here are the time series of kinetic and potential energy from example simulations, which are stored in another Python file. In real-world usage, the results would either come from the Python interface of the simulation package, from flat files containing the results, or from package-specific parsers. See *SimulationData* for more details.

```
[2]: from simulation_results import example_simulations
```

import physical\_validation

### 8.1 Check NVT simulations

To check the configurational quantities in NVT, two (otherwise identical) simulations run at different temperatures are required.

We start by loading the first NVT simulation of 900 water molecules, which was performed at 298.15K using velocity-rescale temperature coupling.

```
[3]: simulation_nvt_vrescale_low = example_simulations.get(
         "900 water molecules, NVT at 298K with v-rescale thermostat"
    )
    num_molecules = 900
    simulation_data_nvt_low = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
         # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
        units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
         ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
            ensemble="NVT",
            natoms=num_molecules * 3,
            volume=3.01125 ** 3,
            temperature=298.15,
        ),
        observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
            # This test requires only the potential energy
            potential_energy=simulation_nvt_vrescale_low["potential energy"]
```

(continues on next page)

),		
)		

It is not trivial to decide at which temperature to perform a second simulation. The best results are achieved when the two simulations are close enough to have good overlap between the distributions, while keeping them far enough apart to be able to distinguish the physical difference between the distributions from the statistical error present in simulations.

physical\_validation offers functionality to compute a rule-of-thumb estimate of the optimal interval in state point between two functions. We will now use our first simulation result to get an estimate of where a second simulation would optimally be located:

)

```
A rule of thumb states that good error recognition can be expected when
spacing the tip of the distributions by about two standard deviations.
Based on this rule, and the assumption that the standard deviation of the
distributions is largely independent of the state point, here's an estimate
for the interval given the current simulation:
Current trajectory: NVT, T = 298.15 K
Suggested interval: dT = 8.4 K
```

```
[4]: {'dT': 8.44234664462332}
```

The second simulation available in our example set was performed at 308.15K, which is reasonably close to the estimate calculated above. Let's load these results:

```
[5]: simulation_nvt_vrescale_high = example_simulations.get(
         "900 water molecules, NVT at 308K with v-rescale thermostat"
    )
    simulation_data_nvt_high = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
        # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
        units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
         ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
            ensemble="NVT",
            natoms=num_molecules * 3,
            volume=3.01125 ** 3,
            temperature=308.15,
        ),
        observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
            # This test requires only the potential energy
            potential_energy=simulation_nvt_vrescale_high["potential energy"]
        ),
    )
```

Using both simulation data objects, we can now check the ensemble sampled by our simulations. We are using screen=True to display a result plot on screen. See argument filename to print that same plot to file.

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

(continued from previous page)

→Trajectory 1 remain.	
After equilibration, decorrela	ation and tail pruning, 90.72% (4537 frames) of original
⊶Trajectory 2 remain.	
	y 1 and 73.2% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that of	dT = 8.6 would be optimal (currently, $dT = 10.0$ )
<ipython.core.display.javascr< td=""><td>ipt object&gt;</td></ipython.core.display.javascr<>	ipt object>
	•
<ipython.core.display.html obj<="" th=""><th>ject&gt;</th></ipython.core.display.html>	ject>
	-
	-
	-
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (a	-
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (a Free energy	-
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (a Free energy 477.11477 +/- 10.85952	analytical error)
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (a Free energy 477.11477 +/- 10.85952 Estimated slope	analytical error) 
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (a Free energy 477.11477 +/- 10.85952 Estimated slope 0.013409 +/- 0.000305	analytical error) 
<pre>maximum Likelihood Analysis (a</pre>	======================================
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (a Free energy 477.11477 +/- 10.85952 Estimated slope 0.013409 +/- 0.000305	analytical error) 

By default, the ensemble check is estimating the distance in temperature between the two sampled ensembles using a maximum likelihood approach. This distance estimate is expected to be close to the true value. As a rule of thumb, if the true interval is not within about 2-3 standard deviations of the estimated interval, the trajectory is unlikely to have been sampled from the expected ensemble. The quantiles (number of standard deviations) of difference between the true value and the estimate is returned from the test as a machine-readable test result.

Note that in order to print the plot, the line is also linearly fitted to the simulations. This leads to a slightly different estimate, which explains the difference between the quantiles printed in the plot and in the terminal. As the maximum likelihood estimate is considered to be more exact, it's value is reported on the terminal and used as a return value.

We will now repeat this analysis using the same system, but a simulation which was performed using Berendsen pressure coupling. This temperature coupling method was found not to sample the expected ensemble. We will use this example to illustrate that the physical\_validation checks are able to pick up this discrepancy in sampling.

Since the simulated system was identical to the one first analyzed, we will simply replace the observable trajectory in our simulation data objects:

```
[7]: simulation_nvt_berendsen_low = example_simulations.get(
    "900 water molecules, NVT at 298K with Berendsen thermostat"
)
simulation_data_nvt_low.observables = physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
    potential_energy=simulation_nvt_berendsen_low["potential energy"]
)
simulation_nvt_berendsen_high = example_simulations.get(
    "900 water molecules, NVT at 308K with Berendsen thermostat"
)
simulation_data_nvt_high.observables = physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
    potential_energy=simulation_nvt_berendsen_high["potential energy"]
)
```

```
[8]: physical_validation.ensemble.check(
        data_sim_one=simulation_data_nvt_low,
        data_sim_two=simulation_data_nvt_high,
        screen=True,
    )
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 89.28% (4465 frames) of original.
     \rightarrow Trajectory 1 remain.
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 99.80% (4991 frames) of original.
     \rightarrow Trajectory 2 remain.
    Overlap is 44.3% of trajectory 1 and 45.6% of trajectory 2.
    Rule of thumb estimates that dT = 11.4 would be optimal (currently, dT = 10.0)
    <IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    _____
    Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
    Free energy
        808.62976 +/- 22.44259
    Estimated slope
                                       True slope
                                     0.022723 +/- 0.000631
                                       0.013091
                                     (15.27 quantiles from true slope)
    Estimated dT
                                   | True dT
        17.4
                                     | 10.0
               +/- 0.5
[8]: [15.271945829603142]
```

The check is confirming that the sampled ensemble using the Berendsen thermostat is not behaving as expected when changing the temperature. The reported estimated temperature interval is around 15 standard deviations from the true value, which makes it easy to reject the hypothesis that the potential energy was sampled from the correct ensemble.

# 8.2 Check NPT simulations

To check the sampled ensemble of the configurational quantities in NPT, we again need two otherwise identical simulations which were performed at slightly different state points (target temperature and / or pressure). The checks can be performed using identical pressure and different temperatures, which will test whether the sampled ensembles exhibit the expected temperature dependence. The checks can also be performed using identical temperature and different pressures, which will in turn test the pressure dependence of the sampled ensemble. Finally, we can also use two simulations which differs in both the target temperature and pressure, combining the two tests into one. Here, we will showcase the last option for a system of 900 water molecules, sampled using velocity-rescale temperature coupling and Parrinello-Rahman pressure coupling. These coupling algorithms were analytically shown to sample the correct distribution, so we will check whether the simulated results fulfill this expectation.

We will start by loading a first simulation, performed at 298.15 K and 1 bar.

```
[9]: simulation_npt_low = example_simulations.get(
    "900 water molecules, NPT at 298K and 1bar, using v-rescale and Parrinello-Rahman"
)
num_molecules = 900
simulation_data_npt_low = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
    ensemble="NPT",
    natoms=num_molecules * 3,
    pressure=1.0,
    temperature=298.15,
),
observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
    # This test requires the potential energy and the volume
    potential_energy=simulation_npt_low["potential energy"],
    volume=simulation_npt_low["volume"],
),
```

As in the NVT case, we can use this simulation to have physical\_validation suggesting a state point to perform the second simulation in.

```
[10]: physical_validation.ensemble.estimate_interval(data=simulation_data_npt_low)
```

```
A rule of thumb states that good error recognition can be expected when
spacing the tip of the distributions by about two standard deviations.
Based on this rule, and the assumption that the standard deviation of the
distributions is largely independent of the state point, here's an estimate
for the interval given the current simulation:
Current trajectory: NPT, T = 298.15 K, P = 1.00 bar
Suggested interval:
Temperature-only: dT = 7.7 K
Pressure-only: dT = 7.7 K
Pressure-only: dT = 315.1 bar
Combined: dT = 7.8 K, dP = 314.8 bar
[10]: {'dT': 7.749821872873016,
'dP': 315.11202690293436,
```

'dTdP': [7.763310613476873, 314.82913138004045]}

The rule of thumb suggests that a second state point with a temperature difference of about 7.8 K and a pressure difference of about 315 bar would be optimal. The second simulation which is available in our example set was performed at 308.15 K and 101 bar, so at a distance of 10 K and 100 bar. According to the physical\_validation estimate, the pressure distance should be a bit further to have optimal error recognition. The check will, however, not be invalid with this choice of state points.

```
[11]: simulation_npt_high = example_simulations.get(
    "900 water molecules, NPT at 308K and 101bar, using v-rescale and Parrinello-Rahman"
)
num_molecules = 900
simulation_data_npt_high = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
    # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
    units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
    ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
        ensemble="NPT",
        natoms=num_molecules * 3,
        pressure=101.0,
        temperature=308.15,
```

(continues on next page)

)

(continued from previous page)

```
),
observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
    # This test requires the potential energy and the volume
    potential_energy=simulation_npt_high["potential energy"],
    volume=simulation_npt_high["volume"],
),
```

Using both simulation data objects, we can now check the ensemble sampled by our simulations. Note that plotting is not available for NPT simulations which differ in both temperature and pressure, since the 2-dimensional plot would be very hard to interpret.

```
[12]: physical_validation.ensemble.check(
```

```
data_sim_one=simulation_data_npt_low,
   data_sim_two=simulation_data_npt_high,
)
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 92.46% (4624 frames) of original.
→ Trajectory 1 remain.
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 86.86% (4344 frames) of original.
→Trajectory 2 remain.
Overlap is 72.8% of trajectory 1 and 76.7% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that (dT, dP) = (8.0, 320.4) would be optimal (currently, (dT, dP)_{-}
\rightarrow = (10.0, 100.0))
_____
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
_____
Free energy
   521.66706 +/- 13.00986
Estimated slope
                                 True slope
   0.012963 + / - 0.000301
                                 0.013091
                               (0.42 quantiles from true slope)
   -2.232868 +/- 0.157176
                              -2.349681
    (0.74 quantiles from true slope)
Estimated dT
                                 True dT
                               9.9
          +/- 0.2
                                 10.0
Estimated dP
                                 True estimated dP
                               98.3
   93.5
          +/- 6.6
```

```
[12]: array([0.42492725, 0.74319977])
```

The ensemble check now prints both the estimated temperature and pressure intervals. We note that in both cases, the true value is within less than a standard deviation, which means that the null hypothesis of sampling the expected ensemble stands.

It's worth noting that the true pressure difference is given as 98.3 bar rather than 100 bar. When checking simulations which differ in both their pressure and temperature, the pressure interval can only be approximated since the temperature and pressure are not perfectly separable in the NPT partition function. Please refer to Merz & Shirts 2018, eq (18), for details.

# 8.3 Check $\mu$ VT simulations

To check the sampled ensemble of the configurational quantities in  $\mu$ VT, we again need two otherwise identical simulations which were performed at slightly different state points (target temperature and / or chemical potential). The checks can be performed using identical chemical potential and different temperatures, which will test whether the sampled ensembles exhibit the expected temperature dependence. The checks can also be performed using identical temperature and different chemical potentials, which will in turn test the dependence of the sampled ensemble on the chemical potential. Finally, we can also use two simulations which differ in both the target temperature and chemical potentials, combining the two tests into one. Here, we will showcase checking the dependence on the chemical potential for a system of diffuoromethane vapor, sampled using GCMC.

We will start by loading a first simulation, performed at a temperature of 300K and chemical potential of -37 kJ/mol.

```
[13]: simulation_muvt_low = example_simulations.get(
          "GCMC Difluoromethane vapor, muVT at 300K and -37.5kJ/mol"
      )
      simulation_data_muvt_low = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
          # Example simulations were performed in units compatible with GROMACS units
         units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
          ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
              ensemble="muVT",
              mu = -37.5,
              volume=512,
              temperature=300,
         ),
         observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
              # This test requires the potential energy and the volume
              potential_energy=simulation_muvt_low["potential energy"],
              number_of_species=simulation_muvt_low["number of species"],
         ),
      )
```

As for the other ensembles, we can use this simulation to have physical\_validation suggesting a state point to perform the second simulation in.

[14]: physical\_validation.ensemble.estimate\_interval(data=simulation\_data\_muvt\_low)

```
# WARNING: Support for muVT ensemble is an experimental feature under current #
#
         development. You can help us to improve it by reporting errors
                                                                  #
#
                                                                  #
         at https://github.com/shirtsgroup/physical_validation
#
         Thank you!
                                                                  #
A rule of thumb states that good error recognition can be expected when
spacing the tip of the distributions by about two standard deviations.
Based on this rule, and the assumption that the standard deviation of the
distributions is largely independent of the state point, here's an estimate
for the interval given the current simulation:
Current trajectory: muVT, T = 300.00 K, mu = -37.50 kJ/mol
Suggested interval:
 Temperature-only: dT = 4.3 K
 Chemical potential-only: dmu = 0.6 kJ/mol
 Combined: dT = 27.6 \text{ K}, dmu = 0.6 \text{ kJ/mol}
```

```
[14]: {'dT': 4.315794686477163,
    'dmu': 0.6161396912499071,
    'dTdmu': [27.615821479158512, 0.6188326725201275]}
```

The rule of thumb suggests that a second state point with chemical potential difference of about 0.6 kJ/mol would be optimal. For the temperature, the suggestion of a second state point varies depending on whether a 1D fit (simulations varying only in their target temperature) or a 2D fit (simulations varying in both their target temperature and the chemical potential) is to be performed.

The second simulation available in the example set was performed at 300K and -37.0 kJ/mol. The chemical potential difference (0.5 kJ/mol) is close to the value suggested by physical\_validation, so we should get close to optimal error recognition when testing for the dependence on the chemical potential.

We proceed by loading the second simulation:

```
[15]: simulation_muvt_high = example_simulations.get(
          "GCMC Difluoromethane vapor, muVT at 300K and -37.0kJ/mol"
     )
     simulation_data_muvt_high = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
          # Example simulations were performed in units compatible with GROMACS units
         units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
          ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
              ensemble="muVT",
              mu = -37.0,
              volume=512,
              temperature=300,
         ),
          observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
              # This test requires the potential energy and the volume
              potential_energy=simulation_muvt_high["potential energy"],
              number_of_species=simulation_muvt_high["number of species"],
         ),
     )
```

Using both simulation data objects, we can now check the ensemble sampled by our simulations. We are using screen=True to display a result plot on screen. See argument filename to print that same plot to file.

```
[16]: physical_validation.ensemble.check(
```

```
data_sim_one=simulation_data_muvt_low,
   data_sim_two=simulation_data_muvt_high,
   screen=True
)
# WARNING: Support for muVT ensemble is an experimental feature under current #
#
         development. You can help us to improve it by reporting errors
                                                                   #
#
         at https://github.com/shirtsgroup/physical_validation
                                                                   #
         Thank you!
#
                                                                   #
*****************
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 55.45% (2496 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 1 remain.
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 34.26% (1542 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 2 remain.
Overlap is 89.4% of trajectory 1 and 88.0% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that mu = 0.6 would be optimal (currently, dmu = 0.5)
```

```
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
===
   _____
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
_____
                                        ==
Free energy
   -14.82375 + / - 0.51045
                             True slope
Estimated slope
                           0.201836 +/- 0.007093
                              0.200454
                           (0.19 quantiles from true slope)
Estimated dmu
                             True estimated dmu
                           -0.5
   -0.5
         +/- 0.0
                           _____
                                      ____
```

```
[16]: [0.1948414103184058]
```

The ensemble check finds a slope which is 0.2 quantiles from the real slope according to the Maximum-Likelihood estimator. This is a strong indicator that the sampled ensembles exhibit the correct dependence on the target chemical potential.

### KINETIC ENERGY EQUIPARTITION

Note: This notebook can be run locally by cloning the Github repository. The notebook is located in doc/examples/ kinetic\_energy\_equipartition.ipynb. Be aware that probabilistic quantities such as error estimates based on bootstrapping will differ when repeating the analysis.

```
[1]: # enable plotting in notebook
%matplotlib notebook
```

The results imported here are the time series of kinetic and potential energy, positions and velocities from example simulations, which are stored in another Python file. In real-world usage, the results would either come from the Python interface of the simulation package, from flat files containing the results, or from package-specific parsers. See *SimulationData* for more details.

```
[2]: from simulation_results import example_simulations
```

import physical\_validation
import numpy as np

### 9.1 Check solute from solvated simulation

physical\_validation does not only allow to test the kinetic energy of the entire system, but also the kinetic energy of parts of the system, or how the kinetic energy is distributed within the molecules (translational, rotational and internal kinetic energy).

To demonstrate this check, we will first look at a simulation of a Trp-cage mini-protein. This simulation was performed in water, using a single thermostat connected to the entire system (solute and solvent). Since there is significantly more solvent than solute in typical solvated simulations, unphysical behavior of the solute might be hidden due to the large amount of solvent when looking at full-system properties. We will therefore look at the trajectory which was stripped from the water in post-processing to check the kinetic energy of the solute alone.

We will first load the results from the imported example simulations:

```
[3]: simulation = example_simulations.get(
    "Trp-cage, NPT at 300K and 1bar, protein trajectory only"
)
```

Next, we will create the object containing system information. The single Trp-cage molecule consists of 304 atoms, and has 310 constrained bonds. The translational center of mass motion was removed during the simulation, while the rotation of the center of mass was not constrained.

The equipartition check does not only require information for the entire system, but also details about the atoms and the different molecules. We need to provide the mass of each atom in the system to allow the test to calculate the center

of mass of each molecule at each step. We also need to provide the index of the first atom of each molecule, and the number of constraints of each molecule. In our case, the latter two quantities are an array with a single entry, because we operate on a single molecule. If we had, for example, two fully constrained water molecules in our system instead of the Trp-cage protein, the molecule index would read np.array([0, 3]) (the first atom of the first water molecule has index 0, the first atom of the second water molecule has index 3), while the number of constraints per molecule would read np.array([3, 3]) (3 constraints in each water molecule).

Here, we are loading these values from the example simulation data structure. In typical use, this information needs to be read from the simulation package: Through its Python interface if one is available, by the user otherwise.

```
[4]: system_data = physical_validation.data.SystemData(
    # Read system information from the example simulation
    natoms=simulation["natoms"],
    nconstraints=simulation["nconstraints"],
    ndof_reduction_tra=simulation["ndof_reduction_tra"],
    ndof_reduction_rot=simulation["ndof_reduction_rot"],
    mass=simulation["mass"],
    # We only have one molecule
    molecule_idx=np.array([0]),
    # The single molecule has all constraints of the system
    nconstraints_per_molecule=np.array([simulation["nconstraints"]]),
)
```

Next, we will create the ensemble information:

```
[5]: ensemble_data = physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
        ensemble="NPT",
        natoms=simulation["natoms"],
        pressure=1.0,
        temperature=300.0,
)
```

Now we will create the simulation data objects which we will feed to the physical validation tests.

```
[6]: simulation_data = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
    # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
    units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
    system=system_data,
    ensemble=ensemble_data,
    # The equipartition test needs position and velocity trajectories
    trajectory=physical_validation.data.TrajectoryData(
        position=simulation["position"],
        velocity=simulation["velocity"],
    )
)
```

The simulation was performed using a single thermostat connected to the entire system (solute and solvent). Since there is significantly more solvent than solute in typical solvated simulations, unphysical behavior of the solute might be hidden.

We can now check the kinetic energy distribution of the solute. By default, the equipartition check will verify the distribution of the total, the translational, the rotational, and the internal kinetic energy. We are using screen=True to display a result plot on screen (see argument filename to print that same plot to file).

```
[7]: physical_validation.kinetic_energy.equipartition(
        data=simulation_data, strict=True, screen=True
    )
    Equipartition: Testing group-wise kinetic energies (strict)
     * total:
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 90.60% (906 frames) of original
     \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
     <IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    p = 0.19142
     * translational:
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 98.70% (987 frames) of original.
     →Kinetic energy remain.
    <IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    p = 0.222366
     * rotational and internal:
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 89.30% (893 frames) of original
     →Kinetic energy remain.
    <IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    p = 0.214754
    * rotational:
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 100.00% (1000 frames) of original.
     \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
    <IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    p = 0.387559
     * internal:
    After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 91.30% (913 frames) of original
     \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
    <IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    p = 0.137762
[7]: [0.19141994837327247,
     0.22236562034118057,
     0.21475378052598215,
     0.3875590890276527,
     0.13776199439893277]
```

The strict test prints and returns p-values which indicate that the null hypothesis stands for all different kinetic energies. Commonly, one would consider a p-value of less than 0.05 (5% confidence interval) a reasonable cut-off. The printed figures confirm that the sampled and the analytical distributions are very similar in all cases.

We can therefore be confident that the full system temperature coupling does indeed lead to the solute sampling the correct kinetic energy distribution.

### 9.2 Check gas phase simulations for correctness

As another example, we will now look at two simulations of octanol in gas phase at 298.15 K. Each simulation consisted of 512 non-interacting octanol molecules. One of the simulations was performed using molecular dynamics with velocity-rescale temperature coupling, while the other was performed using stochastic dynamics.

```
[8]: simulation_md = example_simulations.get(
    "512 octanol molecules in gas phase at 298K, MD with v-rescale"
)
simulation_sd = example_simulations.get(
    "512 octanol molecules in gas phase at 298K, SD"
)
```

The system consists of 512 united-atom 1-octanol molecules. Each (linear) molecule is made up of 10 atoms, with 9 constrained bonds between them. In both simulations, the translational center of mass motion was removed, while the rotational was unconstrained. The mass vector is a 512-fold repetition of the masses of a single octanol molecule. The molecule index starts at atom 0 and increases in increments of 10 until the last molecule which starts at atom 511x10 = 5110. The number of constraints per molecule is 9 for all molecules

```
[9]: num_molecules = 512
    num_atoms_per_molecule = 10
    num_constraints_per_molecule = num_atoms_per_molecule - 1
     system_data = physical_validation.data.SystemData(
         # Read system information from the example simulation
        natoms=num_molecules * num_atoms_per_molecule,
        nconstraints=num_molecules * num_constraints_per_molecule,
        ndof_reduction_tra=3.
        ndof_reduction_rot=0,
         # np.tile repeats the single-molecule mass vector `num_molecules` times
        mass=np.tile(
             Ε
                 1.008,
                 15.9994,
                 14.027,
                 14.027,
                 14.027,
                 14.027,
                 14.027,
                 14.027,
                 14.027,
                 15.035,
            ],
            num_molecules,
        ),
         # The first atom of each molecule: [0, 10, 20, ..., 5110]
        molecule_idx=np.arange(
             0, num_molecules * num_atoms_per_molecule, num_atoms_per_molecule
        ),
         # Each molecule has nine constraints: [9, 9, ..., 9]
        nconstraints_per_molecule=num_constraints_per_molecule * np.ones(num_molecules),
    )
```

The simulations were performed under NVT:

```
[10]: ensemble_data = physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
    ensemble="NVT",
    natoms=num_molecules * num_atoms_per_molecule,
    volume=20,
    temperature=298.15,
)
```

We can now create the two simulation data objects:

```
[11]: simulation_data_md = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
          # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
         units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
          system=system_data,
          ensemble=ensemble_data,
         observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
             kinetic_energy=simulation_md["kinetic energy"],
             potential_energy=simulation_md["potential energy"],
         ),
          trajectory=physical_validation.data.TrajectoryData(
             position=simulation_md["position"],
             velocity=simulation_md["velocity"],
         ),
     )
     simulation_data_sd = physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
          # Example simulations were performed using GROMACS
         units=physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS"),
          system_system_data.
          ensemble=ensemble_data.
          observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
              kinetic_energy=simulation_sd["kinetic energy"],
             potential_energy=simulation_sd["potential energy"],
         ),
          trajectory=physical_validation.data.TrajectoryData(
             position=simulation_sd["position"],
             velocity=simulation_sd["velocity"],
         ),
     )
```

What is remarkable about these two simulations, is that they both seem to sample the correct full-system kinetic energy distribution:

```
[12]: physical_validation.kinetic_energy.distribution(data=simulation_data_md, strict=True)
```

After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 100.00% (76 frames) of original. →Kinetic energy remain. Kinetic energy distribution check (strict) Kolmogorov-Smirnov test result: p = 0.286917 Null hypothesis: Kinetic energy is Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed

[12]: 0.28691678127130094

[13]: physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution(data=simulation\_data\_sd, strict=True)

After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 69.33% (52 frames) of original. →Kinetic energy remain.

(continued from previous page)

Kinetic energy distribution check (strict) Kolmogorov-Smirnov test result: p = 0.206281 Null hypothesis: Kinetic energy is Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed

### [13]: 0.20628105920809725

Yet their potential energy average is significantly different, at about 3 standard deviations of difference between the two estimates:

```
[14]: (8038.964400421053, 145.53838254074824)
```

- [15]: (7579.539869773332, 169.56653568511697)

Running the equipartition check for both simulations sheds some light into what is happening:

```
[16]: physical_validation.kinetic_energy.equipartition(data=simulation_data_md, strict=False)
```

```
Equipartition: Testing group-wise kinetic energies (non-strict) * total:
```

```
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 100.00% (76 frames) of original.
      →Kinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 298.51 + 0.41 K
      T(sigma) = 275.45 + 21.05 K
      * translational:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 39.47% (30 frames) of original.
      →Kinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 251.01 + - 0.86 K
      T(sigma) = 125.65 + 17.20 K
      * rotational and internal:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 100.00% (76 frames) of original
      →Kinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 305.70 + - 0.46 K
      T(sigma) = 263.82 + -23.94 K
      * rotational:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 64.47% (49 frames) of original.
      \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 275.60 + - 0.80 K
      T(sigma) = 153.42 + -16.98 K
      * internal:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 93.42% (71 frames) of original
      \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 312.35 + 0.41 K
      T(sigma) = 219.04 +- 17.84 K
[16]: [(0.8877078177579152, 1.0785152946004155),
       (54.75807873647404, 10.026739798585906),
```

```
(54.75807873647404, 10.026739798585906),
(16.32772106740449, 1.43385330148231),
(28.132986949338967, 8.525395451107745),
(34.95911199352702, 4.434764508076646)]
```

The MD simulation does sample the correct full system kinetic energy, with both the mean and the variance within about a standard deviation of the true value. The internal temperature is, however, significantly too high (over 30 standard deviations above the expected value), while the temperature of the translational and rotational degrees of freedom are too low. Note that any deviation within about 2-3 standard deviations is usually considered as statistically insignificant.

```
[17]: physical_validation.kinetic_energy.equipartition(data=simulation_data_sd, strict=False)
```

```
Equipartition: Testing group-wise kinetic energies (non-strict)
      * total:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 70.67% (53 frames) of original
      \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 297.72 + - 0.47 K
      T(sigma) = 278.28 + 26.70 K
      * translational:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 53.33% (40 frames) of original
      \rightarrowKinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 298.32 + - 1.63 K
      T(sigma) = 282.62 + -34.53 K
      * rotational and internal:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 74.67% (56 frames) of original.
      →Kinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 297.67 + - 0.48 K
      T(sigma) = 244.46 + 25.16 K
      * rotational:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 97.33% (73 frames) of original.
      →Kinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 296.16 + - 1.14 K
      T(sigma) = 245.29 + 23.93 K
      * internal:
      After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 84.00% (63 frames) of original
      →Kinetic energy remain.
      T(mu) = 298.05 + 0.56 K
      T(sigma) = 269.77 + 21.29 K
[17]: [(0.9008763345265538, 0.7439987842383414),
       (0.10410349921537503, 0.4498521476007015),
       (0.9975145461349327, 2.1335398129869403),
       (1.7457884062564413, 2.2089826013916993),
```

The SD simulation does, unlike the MD simulation, sample the right kinetic energy distribution for all degrees of freedom. Most crucially, it does sample the correct distribution for the internal degrees of freedom.

Since the molecules are in gas phase, i.e. non-interacting, all potential energy will come from intra-molecular interactions. Sampling the correct kinetic energy in the molecule-internal degree of freedom is hence key for a physically valid estimate of the potential energy. The MD simulation's elevated internal temperature explains why its potential energy estimate was significantly larger than the SD estimate.

(0.17589254634896292, 1.3331699127717522)]

### CHAPTER

### **INTEGRATOR VALIDATION**

Note: This notebook can be run locally by cloning the Github repository. The notebook is located in doc/examples/integrator\_validation.ipynb.

# [1]: # enable plotting in notebook %matplotlib notebook

The results imported here are the time series of kinetic and potential energy from example simulations, which are stored in another Python file. In real-world usage, the results would either come from the Python interface of the simulation package, from flat files containing the results, or from package-specific parsers. See *SimulationData* for more details.

### [2]: from simulation\_results import example\_simulations

import physical\_validation

The example system consists of 1000 Lennard-Jones (LJ) particles simulated under NVE conditions. The system uses Argon parameters = 0.3345 nm and = 1.045128 kJ/mol (White 1999). To illustrate how the integrator convergence validation can pick up small errors in the simulation, the simulation was repeated with different interaction cutoff schemes. In all cases, the LJ interactions were discarded if the particles were more than 1 nm (3) apart. Uncorrected, this leads to a small discontinuity in the potential and the force at the cutoff distance. A first approach to correct this discontinuity is by shifting the potential by a constant value such that it reaches 0 at the cutoff distance. This fixes the discontinuity in the potential, but does not alter the forces. A second approach is to smoothly switch off both the forces and the potential such that both reach zero at the cut-off distance.

The integrator validation analyzes the convergence of the fluctuations around the integrator constant of motion. For a symplectic integrator, the fluctuation is expected to be directly proportional to the square of the time step. The physical\_validation check calculates the fluctuation convergence from multiple simulations with different time steps and validates the expectation.

We will start by analyzing simulations without any cut-off corrections. The example simulations contain five otherwise identical simulations performed at timesteps of 0.004, 0.002, 0.001, 0.0005, and 0.00025 ps, respectively.

We will prepare for the analysis by creating a SimulationData object for each of these simulations.

```
simulation_list.append(
    physical_validation.data.SimulationData(
        dt=timestep,
        observables=physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
            constant_of_motion=simulation["constant of motion"]
        ),
    )
)
```

We can now pass the list of simulations into the integrator test to check whether the convergence behaves as expected:

```
[4]: physical_validation.integrator.convergence(simulations=simulation_list, screen=True)
```

	dt	avg	rmsd	slope	ratio		
					dt^2	rmsd	
	0.004	-4749.12	3.66e-01	2.86e-04			
	0.002	-4749.27	3.72e-01	2.77e-04	4.00	0.99	
	0.001	-4749.26	3.34e-01	3.47e-04	4.00	1.11	
	0.0005	-4749.23	3.37e-01	3.33e-04	4.00	0.99	
	0.00025	-4749.23	3.45e-01	2.54e-04	4.00	0.98	
<	<ipython.core.display.javascript object=""></ipython.core.display.javascript>						
<ipython.core.display.html object=""></ipython.core.display.html>							
0	.7556745974	4285974					

The output of the function consists of the time step, the average value of the constant of motion, and its RMSD during the simulation. The fourth column gives the measured slope of the constant of motion - a large value here would indicate a strong drift and hence a problem in the integrator. Even without strong drift, as in the current situation, a large deviation in the ratio between the RMSD values compared to the ratio between the time step will indicate some error in the integrator. The reason for a failure of this test might not always be intuitively clear, as many components play into the integrator convergence - the integrator algorithm itself, but also the interaction function (e.g. non-continuous cut-off) or the numerical precision of the floating point operations.

In this example, we have introduced the numerical error consciously by not correcting for the LJ cut-off discontinuity. The output makes it clear that there is hardly any time-step dependency of the fluctuation, indicating that the error due to the incorrect cut-off treatment is dominating the fluctuations.

The return value represents the largest deviation from the expected behavior, i.e. the maximum value of abs(1 - rmsd\_ratio / time\_step\_ratio\_squared). This allows to evaluate the success of this function programmatically.

We can now compare this to the simulations in which the discontinuity in the potential was corrected by shifting it so that its value at the cut-off reaches zero. Since this is simply an additive constant to the potential, the forces are unchanged. We will again load a set of simulations, and then perform the integrator convergence check.

```
[5]: simulation_list = []
for timestep in [0.004, 0.002, 0.001, 0.0005, 0.00025]:
    # Load appropriate simulation from examples
    simulation = example_simulations.get(
        f"1000 Lennard-Jones particles, potential shift cut-off correction, timestep
        +{timestep} ps"
        )
```

(continued from previous page)

[6]: physical\_validation.integrator.convergence(simulations=simulation\_list, screen=True)

dt	avg	avg rmsd slope ratio						
				dt^2	rmsd			
0.004	-4491.08	1.63e-02	-1.76e-07					
0.002	-4491.24	4.51e-03	-1.98e-06	4.00	3.62			
0.001	-4491.24	1.36e-03	-2.55e-06	4.00	3.31			
0.0005	-4491.21	2.83e-04	-2.46e-07	4.00	4.81			
0.00025	-4491.19	1.20e-04	2.96e-07	4.00	2.35			
<pre><ipython.core.display.javascript object=""></ipython.core.display.javascript></pre>								
<ipython.core.display.html object=""></ipython.core.display.html>								
.412483286	20311137							

The shifted potential correction does slightly improve the integrator convergence, moving it closer to the expected ratio. When reducing the time step, the error introduced by the non-continuous forces does, however, start to be more dominant, and the decrease of the fluctuations with the time step does not follow expectations anymore.

Finally, we can repeat the analysis using a cut-off correction which smoothly switches both the potential and the forces off.

(continued from previous page)

```
constant_of_motion=simulation["constant of motion"]
```

)

),

[8]:	<pre>physical_validation.integrator.convergence(simulations=simulation_list,</pre>	screen=True)
------	--	--------------

dt	avg	rmsd	slope	ratio	
				dt^2	rmsd
0.004	-4335.09	1.69e-02	5.54e-07		
0.002	-4335.25	4.37e-03	-4.87e-07	4.00	3.87
0.001	-4335.24	1.09e-03	-3.81e-08	4.00	4.02
0.0005	-4335.22	2.77e-04	-2.66e-08	4.00	3.93
0.00025	-4335.20	6.90e-05	-9.38e-09	4.00	4.02
ython.co	re.display.	Javascript	object>		

### [8]: 0.03146252406582639

Having now eliminated both sources of numerical artefacts in the integration, the fluctuations do scale with the time step almost exactly as expected. The very low deviations give us confidence that the integrator is operating correctly.

CHAPTER

ELEVEN

### CHECK ENSEMBLE OF OPENMM TEMPERATURE REPLICA EXCHANGE SIMULATIONS

Note: This notebook can be run locally by cloning the Github repository. The notebook is located in doc/examples/ openmm\_replica\_exchange.ipynb. The input and output files of the simulation are located in doc/examples/ simulation\_results/openMMTemperatureReplicaExchange/. Be aware that probabilistic quantities such as error estimates based on bootstrapping will differ when repeating the analysis.

# [1]: # enable plotting in notebook %matplotlib notebook

Ensemble validation is particularly useful for validating enhanced sampling methods such as temperature replica exchange (parallel tempering) molecular dynamics simulations. In replica exchange MD, configurational swaps are attempted periodically between simulations running concurrently in separate simulation cells at different temperatures. Since the acceptance criteria for exchange moves depends on the energies of each replica involved, it is critical that the energy distributions are correct at all thermodynamic states used the parallel tempering scheme.

In this example, parallel tempering simulations on a simple coarse-grained oligomer system were run using the openmmtools framework. 6 temperature states spaced logarithmically over the range of 300K to 500K, over which the oligomer undergoes a transition from a helix to unfolded state.

```
[2]: import numpy as np
  import physical_validation
```

import openmmtools.multistate
import simtk.unit

Warning: importing 'simtk.openmm' is deprecated. Import 'openmm' instead.

For convenience, we will use native physical\_validation units:

```
[3]: energy_unit = simtk.unit.kilojoule_per_mole
length_unit = simtk.unit.nanometer
volume_unit = length_unit ** 3
temperature_unit = simtk.unit.kelvin
pressure_unit = simtk.unit.bar
time_unit = simtk.unit.picosecond
kb = simtk.unit.MOLAR_GAS_CONSTANT_R.value_in_unit(energy_unit / temperature_unit)
```

Create the UnitData object which we will use to inform physical\_validation of our choices. Note that the conversion factors will all be 1.0, since we're using native units. The notation here is more general though, and would allow to change any unit set in the previous cell.

### [4]: unit\_data = physical\_validation.data.UnitData(

#### kb=kb,

)

```
energy_conversion=energy_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.kilojoule_per_mole),
length_conversion=length_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.nanometer),
volume_conversion=volume_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.nanometer ** 3),
temperature_conversion=temperature_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.kelvin),
pressure_conversion=pressure_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.bar),
time_conversion=time_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.bar),
time_conversion=time_unit.conversion_factor_to(simtk.unit.picosecond),
energy_str=energy_unit.get_symbol(),
length_str=length_unit.get_symbol(),
volume_str=volume_unit.get_symbol(),
temperature_str=temperature_unit.get_symbol(),
pressure_str=pressure_unit.get_symbol(),
time_str=time_unit.get_symbol(),
```

We first read the replica exchange output file and extract the thermodynamics states used in the simulation. We will use these states to create the EnsembleData objects which inform physical\_validation of the sampled ensembles. Note that the example simulations were performed at constant temperature in a non-periodic box. We will therefore set the (undefined) volume of the NVT ensemble to -1.

```
[5]: output_data = "simulation_results/openMMTemperatureReplicaExchange/output/output.nc"
reporter = openmmtools.multistate.MultiStateReporter(output_data, open_mode="r")
states = reporter.read_thermodynamic_states()[0]
num_states = len(states)
ensemble_data = [
    physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
        ensemble="NVT",
        natoms=state.n_particles,
        volume=-1,
        temperature=state.temperature.value_in_unit(temperature_unit),
        )
        for state in states
```

```
]
```

Warning: The openmmtools.multistate API is experimental and may change in future releases

We will then read the replica energies and the state indices. Note that

- replica\_state\_indices[replica, step] denotes the thermodynamics state index sampled by replica replica during step step
- replica\_energies[replica, state, step] is the reduced potential of replica replica at state state during step step

```
[6]: analyzer = openmmtools.multistate.ReplicaExchangeAnalyzer(reporter)
    replica_energies, _, _, replica_state_indices = analyzer.read_energies()
```

Warning: The openmmtools.multistate API is experimental and may change in future releases

For our analysis, we are only interested in the energies at the states the replicas were sampling at, rather than at all states. It will also make our remaining analysis easier if these energies are organized as time series per state rather than time series per replica.

Also, the replica energies are stored in reduced potential. For our analysis, we are interested in the non-reduced form,

which we can obtain by multiplying the result by kT of the respective thermodynamic state.

```
[7]: # Prepare array of kT values
kT = np.array(
        [kb * state.temperature.value_in_unit(temperature_unit) for state in states]
)
total_steps = replica_energies.shape[2]
potential_energies = []
for state in range(num_states):
    state_energy = np.zeros(total_steps)
    for step in range(total_steps):
        # Find the replica which sampled at state `state` during step `step`
        state_energy[step] = replica_energies[
            np.nonzero(replica_state_indices[:, step] == state), state, step
        ]
    # Append non-reduced potential energy time series
    potential_energies.append(state_energy * kT[state])
```

We now have all the required information to create a SimulationData object for each separate state:

We can now run the ensemble validation on all adjacent temperature pairs:

(continued from previous page)

```
_____
Free energy
   5.40765 +/- 0.60277
Estimated slope
                               True slope
   0.038921 + / - 0.005296
                                0.038936
                              1
   (0.00 quantiles from true slope)
Estimated dT
                               True dT
                              32.3
         +/-4.4
                                32.3
                              _____
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 16.33% (490 frames) of original
\rightarrow Trajectory 1 remain.
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 15.93% (478 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 2 remain.
Overlap is 95.7% of trajectory 1 and 92.5% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that dT = 63.9 would be optimal (currently, dT = 35.7)
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
_____
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
_____
Free energy
   2.36236 +/- 0.21589
Estimated slope
                               True slope
                              0.035230 +/- 0.002913
                         | 0.035155
   (0.03 quantiles from true slope)
                             | True dT
Estimated dT
   35.8
         +/- 3.0
                              1
                                35.7
_____
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 15.93% (478 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 1 remain.
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 9.20% (276 frames) of original
\rightarrow Trajectory 2 remain.
Overlap is 96.2% of trajectory 1 and 93.1% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that dT = 71.6 would be optimal (currently, dT = 39.6)
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
_____
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
_____
Free energy
   0.34585 + / - 0.14426
                               True slope
Estimated slope
   0.030943 +/- 0.003609
                              0.031740
   (0.22 quantiles from true slope)
                                True dT
Estimated dT
                              +/-4.5
                                39.6
   38.6
                              After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 9.20% (276 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 1 remain.
```

(continued from previous page)

```
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 6.30% (189 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 2 remain.
Overlap is 81.2% of trajectory 1 and 97.4% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that dT = 81.0 would be optimal (currently, dT = 43.8)
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
Free energy
   -0.79533 + / - 0.14068
Estimated slope
                                 True slope
   0.030647 + / - 0.003797
                                 0.028658
                               (0.52 quantiles from true slope)
Estimated dT
                               | True dT
   46.9
         +/- 5.8
                                 43.8
                               _____
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 6.30% (189 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 1 remain.
After equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning, 7.00% (210 frames) of original.
\rightarrow Trajectory 2 remain.
Overlap is 92.6% of trajectory 1 and 100.0% of trajectory 2.
Rule of thumb estimates that dT = 96.4 would be optimal (currently, dT = 48.6)
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
        _____
Maximum Likelihood Analysis (analytical error)
_____
Free energy
   -0.96215 +/- 0.23485
                                 True slope
Estimated slope
   0.019539 + / - 0.003492
                                 0.025875
                               (1.81 quantiles from true slope)
Estimated dT
                                 True dT
                               36.7
          +/- 6.6
                                 48.6
```

In this analysis, we observe satisfactory dependence of the ensemble distribution on the temperature. As a rule of thumb, if the true interval is not within about 2-3 standard deviations of the estimated interval, the trajectory is unlikely to have been sampled from the expected ensemble. All intervals are below 2 quantiles from the true slope in the maximum likelihood analysis, the first four are around or below 0.5 quantiles from the true slope.

CHAPTER

### TWELVE

### CREATION OF SIMULATIONDATA OBJECTS

The data of simulations to be validated need to be represented by objects of the *SimulationData* type. The *SimulationData* objects are consisting of information about the simulation and the system. This information is collected in objects of different classes, namely

- SimulationData.units of type UnitData: Information on the units used by the simulation program.
- SimulationData.ensemble of type EnsembleData: Information describing the sampled ensemble.
- *SimulationData.system* of type *SystemData*: Information on the system (numbers of atoms, molecules, constraints, etc.).
- SimulationData.observables of type ObservableData: Trajectories of observables along the simulation.
- SimulationData.trajectory of type TrajectoryData: Position / velocity / force trajectories along the simulation.
- SimulationData.dt of type float: The time step at which the simulation was performed.

The *SimulationData* objects can either be constructed directly from arrays and numbers, or (partially) automatically via parsers.

### 12.1 Create SimulationData objects from python data

Example usage, system of 900 water molecules in GROMACS units simulated in NVT:

```
import numpy as np
import physical_validation
simulation_data = physical_validation.data.SimulationData()
num_molecules = 900
simulation_data.system = physical_validation.data.SystemData(
    # Each water molecule has three atoms
    natoms=num_molecules * 3,
    # Each molecule has three constraints
    nconstraints=num_molecules * 3,
    # In this simulation, translational center of mass motion was removed
    ndof_reduction_tra=3,
    # Rotational center of mass motion was not removed
    ndof_reduction_rot=0,
    # Repeat weight of one oxygen and two hydrogen atoms 900 times
    mass=np.tile([15.9994, 1.008, 1.008], num_molecules),
```

```
# Denotes the first atom of each molecules: [0, 3, 6, ...]
   molecule_idx=np.linspace(0, num_molecules * 3, num_molecules, endpoint=False,_
\rightarrow dtype=int),
    # Each molecule has three constraints
   nconstraints_per_molecule=3 * np.ones(num_molecules),
)
# Set GROMACS units
simulation_data.units = physical_validation.data.UnitData.units("GROMACS")
# Simulation was performed under NVT conditions
simulation_data.ensemble = physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
    ensemble='NVT',
   natoms=num_molecules * 3,
   volume=3.01125 ** 3,
   temperature=298.15,
)
# This snippet is assuming that `kin_ene`, `pot_ene` and `tot_ene` are lists
# or numpy arrays filled with the time series of kinetic, potential and total energy
# of a simulation run. These might be obtained, e.g., from the python
# API of a simulation code, or from other python-based analysis tools.
simulation_data.observables = physical_validation.data.ObservableData(
   kinetic_energy=kin_ene,
   potential_energy=pot_ene,
   total_energy=tot_ene,
)
# We are further assuming that `positions` and `velocities` are arrays
# of shape (number of frames) x (number of atoms) x 3, where the last
# number stands for the 3 spatial dimensions. Again, these arrays would
# most likely have been obtained from a python interface of the simulation
# package or from other python-based analysis tools
simulation_data.trajectory = physical_validation.data.TrajectoryData(
   position=positions,
```

### 12.2 Package-specific instructions

### 12.2.1 GROMACS

velocity=velocities,

GROMACS does not offer a well-established Python interface to read out energies or trajectories. physical\_validation therefore offers a parser, which will return a fully populated *SimulationData* object by reading in GROMACS input and output files.

The *GromacsParser* takes the GROMACS input files mdp (run options) and top (topology file) to read the details about the system, the ensemble and the time step. The observable trajectory is extracted from an edr (binary energy trajectory), while the position and velocity trajectory can be read either from a trr (binary trajectory) or a gro (ASCII trajectory) file. The constructor optionally takes the path to a gromacs binary as well as the path to the topology library as inputs. The first is necessary to extract information from binary files (using gmx energy and gmx dump), while the

(continued from previous page)

)

second becomes necessary if the top file contains #include statements which usually rely on GROMACS environment variables. The parser is able to find GROMACS installations which are in the path (e.g. after sourcing the GMXRC file) and the corresponding topology library automatically.

Example usage:

```
import physical_validation
parser = physical_validation.data.GromacsParser()
res = parser.get_simulation_data(
    mdp='mdout.mdp',
    top='system.top',
    gro='system.gro',
    edr='system.edr'
)
```

**Note:** Always double-check the results received from the automatic parser. Since this is not an official GROMACS tool, it is very likely that some special cases or changes in recent versions might not be interpreted correctly.

### 12.2.2 LAMMPS

To analyze simulations performed with LAMMPS, we strongly suggest using its Python interface Pizza.py to create a SimulationData object as explained in *Create SimulationData objects from python data*. Note that *UnitData* offers access to a UnitData object representing the LAMMPS real units by using .data.UnitData.units("LAMMPS real").

As an alternative, physical\_validation ships with a LAMMPS parser, which tries to read part of the system information, the observable and position / velocity trajectories from LAMMPS output files.

Example usage:

```
import physical_validation
parser = physical_validation.data.LammpsParser()
res = parser.get_simulation_data(
    # The LAMMPS parser cannot infer the ensemble from the LAMMPS files,
    # so we pass an EnsembleData object with the information matching the simulation
    ensemble=physical_validation.data.EnsembleData(
        ensemble="NVT",
        natoms=900.
        volume=20**3.
        temperature=300
   ),
    in_file=dir_1 + '/water.in',
   log_file=dir_1 + '/log.lammps',
   data_file=dir_1 + '/water.lmp',
   dump_file=dir_1 + '/dump.atom'
)
```

**Warning:** The LAMMPS parser is in an early development stage. It is part of the physical\_validation package in the hope that it is helpful to someone, but it is very likely to go wrong in a number of cases. Please check any object data create by the LAMMPS parser carefully.

### 12.3 Flatfile parser

For MD packages not supported by the package-specific parsers, it is possible to create the *SimulationData* objects via the *FlatfileParser*. This parser fills the *SimulationData.trajectory* object via 3-dimensional ASCII files containing the position and velocity trajectories, and the *SimulationData.observables* via 1-dimensional ASCII files containing the trajectories for the observables of interest. As the details on the units, the simulated system and the sampled ensemble can not easily be read from such files, this information has to be provided by the user by passing objects of the respective data structures. See *FlatfileParser.get\_simulation\_data* for more details on the *SimulationData* creation via the flat file parser, and *Data contained in SimulationData objects* for details on which test requires which information.

Example usage, system of 900 water molecules in GROMACS units simulated in NVT (note that this example leaves some fields in *SystemData* empty, as well as the trajectory of some observables and the position and velocities):

```
import physical_validation as pv
parser = pv.data.FlatfileParser()
system = pv.data.SystemData(
   natoms=900*3,
   nconstraints=900*3.
   ndof_reduction_tra=3.
   ndof_reduction_rot=0
)
# We need to specify the units in which the simulation was performed,
# specifically the value of k_B in the used energy units, the conversion
# factor of the simulation units to the physical validation units
# (*_conversion keywords), and a string representation of the simulation
# units (*_str keywords, used for output only).
# See documentation below about UnitData object for more details.
units = pv.data.UnitData(
   kb=8.314462435405199e-3,
    energy_str='kJ/mol',
    energy_conversion=1.0,
   length_str='nm',
   length_conversion=1.0,
   volume_str='nm^3',
   volume_conversion=1.0,
   temperature_str='K',
   temperature_conversion=1.0,
   pressure_str='bar',
   pressure_conversion=1.0,
   time_str='ps',
   time_conversion=1.0
)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
ensemble = pv.data.EnsembleData(
    ensemble='NVT',
    natoms=900*3,
    volume=3.01125**3,
    temperature=298.15
)
res = parser.get_simulation_data(
    units=units, ensemble=ensemble, system=system,
    kinetic_ene_file='kinetic.dat',
    potential_ene_file='potential.dat',
    total_ene_file='total.dat'
)
```

### 12.4 Additional examples

### 12.4.1 Use MDAnalysis to create mass vector

Using MDAnalysis, creating a mass vector which can be fed to SystemData.mass is straightforward. See the following snippet for an example using a GROMACS topology:

```
import MDAnalysis as mda
import numpy as np
u = mda.Universe('system.gro')
mass=np.array([u.atoms[i].mass for i in range(len(u.atoms))])
```

### 12.4.2 Use MDAnalysis to define molecule groups for equipartition testing

*physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.equipartition()* allows to specify molecule groups which can be tested for equipartition. The segments used in MDAnalysis can easily be used to define molecule groups as input to the equipartition check:

```
import MDAnalysis as mda
import numpy as np
u = mda.Universe('system.tpr', 'system.gro')
molec_groups = []
for i in range(len(u.segments)):
    seg = u.segments[i]
    molec_groups.append(np.array([seg.atoms[j].index for j in range(len(seg.atoms))]))
```

### 12.4.3 Use MDAnalysis to read position and velocity trajectory

MDAnalysis also makes it easy to create *TrajectoryData* objects which require position and velocity trajectories as inputs. Given a Universe object which contains a trajectory, we can simply use a list comprehension to create a full trajectory in memory:

```
import MDAnalysis as mda
import numpy as np
import physical_validation
u = mda.Universe('system.tpr', 'system.trr')
trajectory = physical_validation.data.TrajectoryData(
    position=[frame.positions for frame in u.trajectory],
    velocity=[frame.velocities for frame in u.trajectory])
```

We can also use the atom selector to only feed part of the trajectory to the physical\_validation tests. This is useful if we want to analyze the equipartition of parts of the system only (e.g. the solute) which can massively speed up the validation check. Note that we have to adapt the *SystemData* object accordingly to inform physical\_validation that we are only analyzing part of the system.

```
import MDAnalysis as mda
import numpy as np
import physical_validation
u = mda.Universe('system.tpr', 'system.trr')
protein = u.select_atoms('protein')
trajectory = physical_validation.data.TrajectoryData(
    position=[protein.positions for _ in u.trajectory],
    velocity=[protein.velocities for _ in u.trajectory])
```

Note: MDAnalysis uses Å (ångström) as a length unit. Don't forget to choose the UnitData accordingly!

### CHAPTER

### THIRTEEN

### DATA CONTAINED IN SIMULATIONDATA OBJECTS

### 13.1 Units: SimulationData.units of type UnitData

### Attributes:

- UnitData.kb, float
- UnitData.energy\_conversion, float
- UnitData.length\_conversion, float
- UnitData.volume\_conversion, float
- UnitData.temperature\_conversion, float
- UnitData.pressure\_conversion, float
- UnitData.time\_conversion, float
- UnitData.energy\_str, str
- UnitData.length\_str, str
- UnitData.volume\_str, str
- UnitData.temperature\_str, str
- UnitData.pressure\_str, str
- UnitData.time\_str, str

The information about units consists of different parts:

- The value of  $k_B$  in the used energy units,
- the conversion factor to physical\_validation units (kJ/mol, nm, nm^3, K, bar, ps, the same as the GROMACS default units), and
- the name of the units (energy\_str, length\_str, volume\_str, temperature\_str, pressure\_str, time\_str).

The names are only used for output (console printing and plotting), and are optional. The conversion factors and kB are, on the other hand, used in computations and need to be given. To avoid silent errors, these keywords to not have defaults and must be specified.

Needed by

- physical\_validation.ensemble.check()
- physical\_validation.ensemble.estimate\_interval()
- physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution(), only

- UnitData.kb

### 13.2 Ensemble: SimulationData.ensemble of type EnsembleData

Attributes:

- EnsembleData.ensemble, str
- EnsembleData.natoms, int
- EnsembleData.mu, float
- EnsembleData.volume, float
- EnsembleData.pressure, float
- EnsembleData.energy, float
- EnsembleData.temperature, float

The ensemble is a string indicating the thermodynamical ensemble a simulation was performed in, and is any of :code:'NVE', :code:'NVT', :code:'NPT', :code:'muVT'.

Depending on the ensemble, *EnsembleData* then holds additional information defining the ensemble, such as the number of particles N, the chemical potential mu, the volume V, the pressure P, the constant energy E or the temperature T. While any of these additional information are technically optional, most of them are needed by certain tests, such that not fully defining the ensemble results in warnings. The notable exception to this rule is the constant energy E for NVE, which is not needed by any test and can hence be omitted without raising a warning.

#### Needed by

- physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution()
- physical\_validation.ensemble.check()

### 13.3 System: SimulationData.system of type SystemData

Attributes:

- SystemData.natoms, the total number of atoms in the system; e.g. for a system containing 100 water molecules: system\_data.natoms = 300
- SystemData.nconstraints, the total number of constraints in the system, not including the global translational and rotational constraints (see next two attributes); e.g. for a system containing 100 *rigid* water molecules: system\_data.nconstraints = 300
- SystemData.ndof\_reduction\_tra, global reduction of translational degrees of freedom (e.g. due to constraining the center of mass of the system)
- SystemData.ndof\_reduction\_rot, global reduction of rotational degrees of freedom (e.g. due to constraining the center of mass of the system)
- SystemData.mass, a list of the mass of every atom in the system; e.g. for a single water molecule: system\_data.mass = [15.9994, 1.008, 1.008]
- SystemData.molecule\_idx, a list of the index of the first atom of every molecule (this assumes that the atoms are sorted by molecule); e.g. for a system containing 3 water molecules: system\_data.molecule\_idx = [0, 3, 6]

- SystemData.nconstraints\_per\_molecule, a list of the number of constraints in every molecule; e.g. for a system containing 3 *rigid* water molecules: system\_data.nconstraints\_per\_molecule = [3, 3, 3]
- SystemData.bonds, a list containing all bonds in the system; e.g. for a system containing 3 water molecules: system\_data.bonds = [[0, 1], [0, 2], [3, 4], [3, 5], [6, 7], [6, 8]]
- SystemData.constrained\_bonds, a list containing only the constrained bonds in the system, must be a subset of SystemData.bonds (and equal, if all bonds are constrained).

**Todo:** Currently, there is some redundancy in the attributes listed above. The *SystemData.bonds* and *SystemData. constrained\_bonds* are reserved for future use - included already in the information about the system, but not yet used by any tests included in the currently published package. In a future version, the *SystemData* should be streamlined to make the object initialization easier.

#### Needed by

- physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution(), partially:
  - SystemData.natoms,
  - SystemData.nconstraints,
  - SystemData.ndof\_reduction\_tra,
  - SystemData.ndof\_reduction\_rot
- physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.equipartition(), all attributes except SystemData.bonds and SystemData.constrained\_bonds.

### 13.4 Observables: SimulationData.observables of type ObservableData

Attributes:

- ObservableData.kinetic\_energy, the kinetic energy trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['kinetic\_energy']
- ObservableData.potential\_energy, the potential energy trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['potential\_energy']
- *ObservableData.total\_energy*, the total energy trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['total\_energy']
- *ObservableData.volume*, the volume trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['volume']
- ObservableData.pressure the pressure trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['pressure']
- *ObservableData.temperature* the temperature trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['temperature']
- ObservableData.constant\_of\_motion the constant of motion trajectory (nframes x 1), also accessible via observable\_data['constant\_of\_motion']
- ObservableData.number\_of\_species the trajectory of the number of molecules of a species, used for muVT, (nframes x num\_species), also accessible via observable\_data['number\_of\_species']

Needed by

- physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution()
  - ObservableData.kinetic\_energy
- physical\_validation.ensemble.check()
  - ObservableData.total\_energy, or
  - ObservableData.potential\_energy,
  - ObservableData.volume (for NPT),
  - ObservableData.number\_of\_species (for muVT)
- physical\_validation.integrator.convergence()
  - ObservableData.constant\_of\_motion

# 13.5 Atom trajectories: SimulationData.trajectory of type TrajectoryData

Attributes:

- *TrajectoryData.position*, the position trajectory (nframes x natoms x 3), also accessible via trajectory\_data['position']
- *TrajectoryData.velocity*, the velocity trajectory (nframes x natoms x 3), also accessible via trajectory\_data['velocity']

Needed by

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.equipartition()

### 13.6 Time step: SimulationData.dt of type float

The timestep used during the simulation run, a single float value.

Needed by

physical\_validation.integrator.convergence()

CHAPTER

### FOURTEEN

### PHYSICAL\_VALIDATION PACKAGE

Physical validation suite for MD simulations

### 14.1 physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy module

The *kinetic\_energy* module is part of the physical\_validation package, and consists of checks of the kinetic energy distribution and its equipartition.

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution(data: physi-

cal\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData, strict: bool = False, verbosity: int = 2, screen: bool = False, filename: Optional[str] = None, bs\_repetitions: int = 200, bootstrap\_seed: Optional[int] = None, data\_is\_uncorrelated: bool = False)  $\rightarrow$  Union[float, Tuple[float, float]]

Checks the distribution of a kinetic energy trajectory.

#### Parameters

- data Simulation data object
- **strict** If True, check full kinetic energy distribution via K-S test. Otherwise, check mean and width of kinetic energy distribution. Default: False
- verbosity Verbosity level, where 0 is quiet and 3 shows full details. Default: 2.
- screen Plot distributions on screen. Default: False.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. Default: None, no plotting to file.
- **bs\_repetitions** Number of bootstrap samples used for error estimate (if strict=False). Default: 200.
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping (if strict=False). If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. Default: *None*, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.
- **Returns** If *strict=True*: The p value of the test. If *strict=False*: Distance of the estimated T(mu) and T(sigma) from the expected temperature, measured in standard deviations of the respective estimate.

#### Return type result

#### Notes

**Non-strict test** If *strict = False* (the default), this function will estimate the mean and the standard deviation of the data. Analytically, a gamma distribution with shape k = N/2 (with N the number of degrees of freedom) and scale  $\theta = k_B T$  (with T the target temperature) is expected. The mean and the standard deviation of a gamma distribution are given by  $\mu = k\theta$  and  $\sigma = \sqrt{k\theta}$ .

The standard error of the mean and standard deviation are estimated via bootstrap resampling. The function prints the analytically expected mean and variance as well as the fitted values and their error estimates. It also prints T(mu) and T(sigma), which are defined as the temperatures to which the estimated mean and standard deviation correspond, given the number of degrees of freedom N in the system:

$$T(\mu') = \frac{2\mu'}{Nk_B}$$
$$T(\sigma') = \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma'}{\sqrt{Nk_B}}$$

The return value is a tuple containing the distance of the estimated T(mu) and T(sigma) from the expected temperature, measured in standard deviations of the respective estimates.

**Strict test** If *strict* = True, this function tests the hypothesis that a sample of kinetic energies is Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed given a specific target temperature and the number of degrees of freedom in the system,

$$P(K) \sim K^{N/2 - 1} e^{-\beta K}.$$

The test is performed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test provided by scipy.stats.kstest. It returns the *p*-value, measuring the likelihood that a sample at least as extreme as the one given is originating from the expected distribution.

Note: The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is known to have two weaknesses.

- 1. The test is more sensitive towards deviations around the center of the distribution than at its tails. We deem this to be acceptable for most MD applications, but be wary if yours is sensible to the kinetic distribution tails.
- 2. The test is not valid if its parameters are guessed from the data set. Using the target temperature of the MD simulation as an input is therefore perfectly valid, but using the average temperature over the trajectory as an input to the test can potentially invalidate it.

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.equipartition(data: physi-

cal\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData, strict: bool = False, molec\_groups: Optional[List[numpy.ndarray]] = None, random\_divisions: int = 0, random\_groups: int = 0, random\_division\_seed: Optional[int] = None, verbosity: int = 2, screen: bool = False, filename: Optional[str] = None, bootstrap\_seed: Optional[int] = None, data\_is\_uncorrelated: bool = False)  $\rightarrow$ Union[List[float], List[Tuple[float, float]]]

Checks the equipartition of a simulation trajectory.

#### Parameters

• data – Simulation data object

- **strict** If True, check full kinetic energy distribution via K-S test. Otherwise, check mean and width of kinetic energy distribution. Default: False
- **molec\_groups** List of 1d arrays containing molecule indices defining groups. Useful to pre-define groups of molecules (e.g. solute / solvent, liquid mixture species, ...). If None, no pre-defined molecule groups will be tested. Default: None.

*Note:* If an empty 1d array is found as last element in the list, the remaining molecules are collected in this array. This allows, for example, to only specify the solute, and indicate the solvent by giving an empty array.

- **random\_divisions** Number of random division tests attempted. Default: 0 (random division tests off).
- random\_groups Number of groups the system is randomly divided in. Default: 2.
- **random\_division\_seed** Seed making the random divisions reproducible. Default: None, random divisions not reproducible
- **verbosity** Verbosity level, where 0 is quiet and 3 very chatty. Default: 2.
- screen Plot distributions on screen. Default: False.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. Default: None, no plotting to file
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping (if strict=False). If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. Default: None, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.
- **Returns** If *strict=True*: The p value for every tests. If *strict=False*: Distance of the estimated T(mu) and T(sigma) from the expected temperature, measured in standard deviations of the respective estimate, for every test.

Return type result

### Notes

This function compares the kinetic energy between groups of degrees of freedom. Theoretically, the kinetic energy is expected (via the equipartition theorem) to be equally distributed over all degrees of freedom. In practice, deviations of temperature between groups of degrees of freedom up to several degrees K are routinely observed. Larger deviations can, however, hint to misbehaving simulations, such as, e.g., frozen degrees of freedom, lack of energy exchange between degrees of freedom, and transfer of heat from faster to slower degrees of freedom.

Splitting of degrees of freedom is done both on a sub-molecular and on a molecular level. On a sub-molecular level, the degrees of freedom of a molecule can be partitioned into rigid-body contributions (translation of the center-of-mass, rotation around the center-of-mass) and intra-molecular contributions. On a molecular level, the single molecules of the system can be divided in groups, either by function (solute / solvent, different species of liquid mixtures, ...) or randomly.

*check\_equipartition()* partitions the kinetic energy of the entire system and, optionally, of predefined or randomly separated groups. It then computes either the mean and the standard deviation of each partition and compares them to the theoretically expected value (*strict=True*, the default), or it performs a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of the distribution. See physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution for more detail on the checks.

### 14.2 physical\_validation.ensemble module

This software allows users to perform statistical test to determine if a given molecular simulation is consistent with the thermodynamic ensemble it is performed in.

Users should cite the JCTC paper: Shirts, M. R. "Simple Quantitative Tests to Validate Sampling from Thermodynamic Ensembles", J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9 (2), pp 909-926, https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ct300688p

physical\_validation.ensemble.check(data\_sim\_one:

physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData, data\_sim\_two: physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData, total\_energy: bool = False, bootstrap\_error: bool = False, bootstrap\_repetitions: int = 200, bootstrap\_seed: Optional[int] = None, screen: bool = False, filename: Optional[str] = None, verbosity: int = 1, data\_is\_uncorrelated: bool = False)  $\rightarrow$  List[float]

Check the ensemble. The correct check is inferred from the simulation data given.

### Parameters

- data\_sim\_one Simulation data object of first simulation
- **data\_sim\_two** Simulation data object of second simulation differing in its state point from the first
- **total\_energy** Whether to use the total energy for the calculation Default: False, use potential energy only
- bootstrap\_error Calculate the standard error via bootstrap resampling Default: False
- bootstrap\_repetitions Number of bootstrap repetitions drawn Default: 200
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping. If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. Default: None, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- screen Plot distributions on screen. Default: False.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. Default: None, no plotting to file.
- verbosity Level of verbosity, from 0 (quiet) to 3 (very verbose). Default: 1
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.

Returns The number of quantiles the computed result is off the analytical one.

#### Return type quantiles

physical\_validation.ensemble.estimate\_interval(data: physi-

cal\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData,

*verbosity: int* = 1, *total\_energy: bool* = *False*,

*data\_is\_uncorrelated: bool* = *False*)  $\rightarrow$  Dict[str, float]

In order to perform an ensemble check, two simulations at distinct state point are needed. Choosing two state points too far apart will result in poor or zero overlap between the distributions, leading to very noisy results (due to sample errors in the tails) or a breakdown of the method, respectively. Choosing two state points very close to each others, on the other hand, makes it difficult to distinguish the slope from statistical error in the samples.

This function implements a rule of thumb based on the standard deviations of distributions. It takes a single simulation and suggests appropriate intervals for a second simulation to be used for ensemble checking.

### Parameters

- **data** The performed simulation.
- **verbosity** If 0, no output is printed on screen. If 1, estimated intervals are printed. If larger, additional information during calculation are printed. Default: 1
- total\_energy Use total energy instead of potential energy only. Default: False
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid. Default: False

### Returns

If data was performed under NVT conditions, intervals contains only one entry:

• '*dT*', containing the suggested temperature interval.

If *data* was performed under NPT conditions, *intervals* contains three entries:

- 'dT': Suggested temperature interval at constant pressure
- '*dP*': Suggested pressure interval at constant temperature
- '*dTdP*': Suggested combined temperature and pressure interval

Return type intervals

### 14.3 physical\_validation.integrator module

The *integrator.convergence* module is part of the physical\_validation package, and consists of checks of the convergence of the MD integrator.

physical\_validation.integrator.convergence(simulations:

*List*[physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData], *convergence\_test:*  $str = 'max_deviation', verbose: bool =$  *True, screen:* bool = False, filename: Optional[str] = None) $\rightarrow$  float

Compares the convergence of the fluctuations of conserved quantities with decreasing simulation time step to theoretical expectations.

### Parameters

- simulations The (otherwise identical) simulations performed using different time steps
- **convergence\_test** A function defining the convergence test. Currently, only one test is implemented: *max\_deviation*, which is chosen by default
- verbose If True, print more detailed output. Default: False.
- screen Plot convergence on screen. Default: False.
- filename Plot convergence to *filename*. Default: None, no plotting to file.

#### Returns

Return type The largest deviation from the expected ratio of fluctuations.

### Notes

For a simplectic integration algorithm, the fluctuations  $\delta E$  of a constant of motion E (such as, for example, the total energy in a NVE simulations) are theoretically expected to scale like the squared timestep of the integration. When comparing two otherwise identical simulations performed at different time step  $\Delta t$ , the following equality is hence expected to hold:

$$\frac{\Delta t_1^2}{\Delta t_2^2} = \frac{\delta E_1}{\delta E_2}$$

This function calculates the ratio of the fluctuation for simulations performed at different timesteps and compares it to the analytically expected value. If the deviation is larger than *tol*, the test is considered failed.

CHAPTER

**FIFTEEN** 

### PHYSICAL\_VALIDATION.DATA SUBPACKAGE

### 15.1 physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data module

Data structures carrying simulation data.

**class** physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.**SimulationData**(*units=None*, *dt=None*,

system=None, ensemble=None, observables=None, trajectory=None)

SimulationData: System information and simulation results

The SimulationData class holds both the information on the system and the results of a simulation run of that system. SimulationData contains all information on a simulation run needed by the physical validation tests. SimulationData objects can either be created directly by calling the class constructor, or by using a parser returning a SimulationData object.

#### static compatible( $data_1, data_2$ ) $\rightarrow$ bool

Checks whether two simulations are compatible for common validation.

Parameters

- data\_1 (SimulationData) -
- data\_2 (SimulationData) -

**Returns result** 

Return type bool

#### property ensemble: physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data.EnsembleData

Information on the sampled ensemble

### **Returns ensemble**

Return type EnsembleData

Type EnsembleData

**Returns units** 

Return type UnitData

Type UnitsData

#### **Returns** observables

**Return type** ObservableData

**Type** *ObservableData* 

property trajectory: physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.TrajectoryData
Trajectories collected during the simulation

#### **Returns trajectory**

**Return type** *TrajectoryData* 

**Type** *TrajectoryData* 

property system: physical\_validation.data.system\_data.SystemData
 Information on the system's system

#### **Returns system**

Return type SystemData

**Type** SystemData

### property dt: float

The timestep of the simulation run.

#### **Returns timestep**

#### Return type float

 $set\_ensemble(ensemble: str, natoms: Optional[float] = None, mu: Optional[float] = None, volume: Optional[float] = None, pressure: Optional[float] = None, energy: Optional[float] = None, temperature: Optional[float] = None) \rightarrow None$ 

**raise\_if\_units\_are\_none**(*test\_name: str, argument\_name: str*)  $\rightarrow$  None Raise if the unit data was not set

#### **Parameters**

- test\_name String naming the test used for error output
- argument\_name String naming the SimulationData argument used for error output

**raise\_if\_ensemble\_is\_invalid**(*test\_name: str, argument\_name: str, check\_pressure: bool, check\_mu: bool*)  $\rightarrow$  None

Raise InputError if the ensemble data does not hold the required information needed for the tests.

#### **Parameters**

- test\_name String naming the test used for error output
- argument\_name String naming the SimulationData argument used for error output
- check\_pressure Whether to check if the pressure is defined (NPT only).
- **check\_mu** Whether to check if the chemical potential is defined (muVT only).

### raise\_if\_system\_data\_is\_invalid(test\_name: str, argument\_name: str, check\_full\_system\_data\_only:

*bool*)  $\rightarrow$  None

Raise InputError if the ensemble data does not hold the required information needed for the tests.

#### Parameters

- **test\_name** String naming the test used for error output
- argument\_name String naming the SimulationData argument used for error output

• **check\_full\_system\_data\_only** – Whether to check the full system data only (number of atoms in the system, number of constraints in the system, number of translational and rotational degree of freedom reduction of the system). If false, this also checks the masses per atom and the molecule index and constraints.

raise\_if\_observable\_data\_is\_invalid(required\_observables: List[str], test\_name: str,

argument\_name: str)  $\rightarrow$  None

Raise InputError if there are missing observables entries, or if the required observables don't have the same number of frames

#### **Parameters**

- required\_observables List of strings denoting the observables required
- test\_name String naming the test used for error output
- argument\_name String naming the SimulationData argument used for error output

### **raise\_if\_trajectory\_data\_is\_invalid**(*test\_name: str, argument\_name: str*) → None

Raise InputError if there are missing observables entries, or if the required observables don't have the same number of frames

Parameters

- test\_name String naming the test used for error output
- argument\_name String naming the SimulationData argument used for error output

### 15.2 physical\_validation.data.unit\_data module

Data structures carrying simulation data.

class physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData(kb: float, energy\_conversion: float,

length\_conversion: float, volume\_conversion: float, temperature\_conversion: float, pressure\_conversion: float, time\_conversion: float, energy\_str: str = 'ENE', length\_str: str = 'LEN', volume\_str: str = 'VOL', temperature\_str: str = 'TEMP', pressure\_str: str = 'PRESS', time\_str: str = 'TIME')

UnitData: Information about the units used

The information about units consists of different parts:

- The name of the units (energy\_str, length\_str, volume\_str, temperature\_str, pressure\_str, time\_str),
- the value of kB in the used energy units, and
- the conversion factor to GROMACS units (kJ/mol, nm, nm^3, K, bar, ps).

The names are only used for output (console printing and plotting), and are optional. The conversion factors and kB are, on the other hand, used in computations and need to be given.

classmethod units(name: Optional[str] = None)

#### property kb: float

The value of the Boltzmann constant

Type float

```
property energy_str: str
Energy unit
```

Type str

#### property length\_str: str

Length unit

### Type str

property volume\_str: str Volume unit

#### Type str

property temperature\_str: str Temperature unit

Type str

### property pressure\_str: str

Pressure unit

Type str

#### property time\_str: str

Time unit

#### Type str

### property energy\_conversion: float Energy conversion factor, 1 energy\_unit = energy\_conversion \* kJ/mol

Type float

### property length\_conversion: float

Length conversion factor, 1 length\_unit = length\_conversion \* nm

Type float

## property volume\_conversion: float Volume conversion factor, 1 volume\_unit = volume\_conversion \* nm^3

Type float

### property temperature\_conversion: float

Temperature conversion factor, 1 temperature\_unit = temperature\_conversion \* K

Type float

### property pressure\_conversion: float

Pressure conversion factor, 1 pressure\_unit = pressure\_conversion \* bar

Type float

### property time\_conversion: float

Time conversion factor, 1 time\_unit = time\_conversion \* ps

Type float

### 15.3 physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data module

Data structures carrying simulation data.

class physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data.EnsembleData(ensemble, natoms=None, mu=None,

volume=None, pressure=None, energy=None, temperature=None)

EnsembleData: Holds data defining the ensemble

The ensemble is a string indicating the thermodynamical ensemble a simulation was performed in, and is any of 'NVE', 'NVT', 'NPT', 'muVT'. Depending on the ensemble, EnsembleData then holds additional information defining the ensemble, such as the number of particles N, the chemical potential mu, the volume V, the pressure P, the constant energy E or the temperature T. While any of these additional information are optional, most of them are needed by certain tests, such that not fully defining the ensemble results in warnings. The notable exception to this rule is the constant energy E for the NVE, which is not needed by any test and can hence be omitted without raising a warning.

**static ensembles()** → Tuple[str, str, str, str]

property ensemble: str Get ensemble

property natoms: int Get natoms

property mu: numpy.ndarray Get mu

property volume: float Get volume

property pressure: float Get pressure

property energy: float Get energy

property temperature: float Get temperature

### 15.4 physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data module

Data structures carrying simulation data.

class physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.RectangularBox(box: numpy.ndarray)

property box

gather (positions: numpy.ndarray, bonds: List[List[int]], molec\_idx: List[int])

**class** physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.**TrajectoryData**(*position: Optional*[Any] = None,

velocity: Optional[Any] = None)

TrajectoryData: The position and velocity trajectory along the simulation

The full trajectory is needed to calculate the equipartition of the kinetic energy. As they are used in connection, the position and velocity trajectories are expected to have the same shape and number of frames.

The position and velocity trajectories can be accessed either using the getters of an object, as in

- trajectory.position
- trajectory.velocity

or using the key notation, as in

- trajectory['position']
- trajectory['velocity']

static trajectories()  $\rightarrow$  Tuple[str, str]

```
property position: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
Get position
```

```
property velocity: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
    Get velocity
```

### 15.5 physical\_validation.data.observable\_data module

Data structures carrying simulation data.

class physical\_validation.data.observable\_data.ObservableData(kinetic\_energy: Optional[Any] =

None, potential\_energy: Optional[Any] = None, total\_energy: Optional[Any] = None, volume: Optional[Any] = None, pressure: Optional[Any] = None, temperature: Optional[Any] = None, constant\_of\_motion: Optional[Any] = None, number\_of\_species: Optional[Any] = None)

ObservableData: The trajectory of (macroscopic) observables during the simulation

Stores a number of different observables:

- kinetic\_energy: the kinetic energy of the system,
- potential\_energy: the potential energy of the system,
- total\_energy: the total energy of the system,
- volume: the volume of the system box,
- pressure: the pressure of the system,
- temperature: the temperature of the system,
- constant\_of\_motion: a constant of motion of the trajectory.
- The observable trajectories can be accessed either using the getters of an object, as in observables.kinetic\_energy

or using the key notation, as in observables['kinetic\_energy']

```
static observables() \rightarrow List[str]
```

```
property kinetic_energy: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
    Get kinetic_energy
```

- property potential\_energy: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get potential\_energy
- property total\_energy: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get total\_energy
- property volume: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get volume
- property pressure: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get pressure
- property temperature: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get temperature
- property constant\_of\_motion: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get constant\_of\_motion
- property number\_of\_species: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get number\_of\_species
- property nframes: Optional[int] Get number of frames
- property kinetic\_energy\_per\_molecule: Optional[numpy.ndarray]
   Get kinetic\_energy per molecule used internally

## 15.6 physical\_validation.data.system\_data module

Data structure carrying information on the simulated system.

<b>class</b> physical_validation.data.system_data. <b>SystemData</b> ( <i>natoms: Optional[int]</i> = <i>None</i> , <i>nconstraints:</i>		
<i>Optional[float] = None, ndof_reduction_tra:</i>		
<i>Optional[float] = None, ndof_reduction_rot:</i>		
<i>Optional[float] = None, mass:</i>		
<i>Optional[numpy.ndarray] = None,</i>		
molecule_idx: Optional[numpy.ndarray] =		
None, nconstraints_per_molecule:		
<i>Optional[numpy.ndarray] = None</i> )		
SystemData: Information about the atoms and molecules in the system.		

The information stored in SystemData objects describes the atoms and molecules in the system as far as the

physical validation tests need it.

The system is described in terms of

- natoms: the total number of atoms in the system
- nconstraints: the total number of constraints in the system
- ndof\_reduction\_tra: global reduction of translational degrees of freedom (e.g. due to constraining the center of mass of the system)
- ndof\_reduction\_rot: global reduction of rotational degrees of freedom (e.g. due to constraining the center of mass of the system)

The atoms are described in terms of

• mass: a list of the mass of every atom in the system

The molecules are described by

- molecule\_idx: a list with the indices first atoms of every molecule (this assumes that the atoms are sorted by molecule)
- nconstraints\_per\_molecule: a list with the number of constraints in every molecule

Only used internally:

• ndof\_per\_molecule: a list with the number of degrees of freedom of every molecule

Reserved for future use:

- bonds
- constrained\_bonds

Notes:

- kinetic\_energy.distribution() only requires information on the system (natoms, nconstraints, ndof\_reduction\_tra, ndof\_reduction\_rot)
- kinetic\_energy.equipartition() additionally requires information on the atoms and molecules (mass, molecule\_idx, nconstraints\_per\_molecule)

All other tests do not require and information from SystemData.

### property natoms: int

Number of atoms in the system

Type int

### property nconstraints: float

Total number of constraints in the system

Does not include the reduction of degrees of freedom in the absence of external forces.

Type float

### property ndof\_reduction\_tra: float

Number of translational degrees of freedom deducted from 3\*[# of molecules]

Type float

### property ndof\_reduction\_rot: float

Number of rotational degrees of freedom deducted from 3\*[# of molecules]

Type float

#### property mass: numpy.ndarray

Mass vector for the atoms

Setter accepts array-like objects.

Type nd-array

### property molecule\_idx: numpy.ndarray

List of index of first atom of each molecule

Setter accepts array-like objects.

Type nd-array

### property nconstraints\_per\_molecule: numpy.ndarray

List of number of constraints per molecule

Setter accepts array-like objects.

Type nd-array

property ndof\_per\_molecule: Optional[List[Dict[str, float]]]
List of number of degrees of freedom per molecule

Type nd-array

property bonds: List[List[int]]
 List of bonds per molecule

Type List[List[int]]

### property constrained\_bonds: List[List[int]]

List of constrained bonds per molecule

Type List[List[int]]

## 15.7 physical\_validation.data.parser module

Parsers read output files from MD simulation packages and create SimulationData objects with their contents.

class physical\_validation.data.parser.Parser Parser base class

Turber buse cruss

 $\texttt{get\_simulation\_data()} \rightarrow \textit{physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData}$ 

# 15.8 physical\_validation.data.gromacs\_parser module

gromacs\_parser.py

**static units()**  $\rightarrow$  *physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData* 

 $\begin{array}{l} \texttt{get\_simulation\_data}(mdp: \ Optional[str] = None, \ top: \ Optional[str] = None, \ edr: \ Optional[str] = None, \\ trr: \ Optional[str] = None, \ gro: \ Optional[str] = None) \rightarrow \\ physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData \end{array}$ 

#### **Parameters**

- mdp (str, optional) A string pointing to a .mdp file
- **top** (*str*, *optional*) A string pointing to a .top file
- edr (*str*, *optional*) A string pointing to a .edr file
- trr (str, optional) A string pointing to a .trr file
- **gro** (*str*, *optional*) A string pointing to a .gro file (Note: if also trr is given, gro is ignored)

**Returns result** – A SimulationData filled with the results of the simulation as described by the provided GROMACS files.

Return type SimulationData

# 15.9 physical\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser module

flatfile\_parser.py

class physical\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser.FlatfileParser

Read simulation data from flat files

Returns a SimulationData object created from (optionally) provided UnitData, EnsembleData and System-Data, as well as TrajectoryData and ObservableData objects created from flat files. The files are expected to be in one of the following formats:

- xyz-format trajectory files (position\_file, velocity\_file) three numbers per line, separated by white space frames delimited by a completely blank line any character after (and including) a '#' are ignored
- 1d-format all other files one number per line any character after (and including) a '#' are ignored

- **units** (UnitData, optional) A UnitData object representing the units used in the simulation
- **ensemble** (EnsembleData, *optional*) A EnsembleData object representing the ensemble the simulation has been performed in
- **system** (SystemData, *optional*) A SystemData object representing the atoms and molecules in the system
- dt (float, optional) The time step used in the simulation
- **position\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in xyz-format containing the position trajectory
- **velocity\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in xyz-format containing the velocity trajectory
- **kinetic\_ene\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the kinetic energy trajectory
- **potential\_ene\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the potential energy trajectory
- **total\_ene\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the total energy trajectory
- **volume\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the volume trajectory

- **pressure\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the pressure trajectory
- **temperature\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the temperature trajectory
- **const\_of\_mot\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in 1d-format containing the constant of motion trajectory
- **number\_of\_species\_file** (*str*, *optional*) Path to a file in nd-format containing the number of species trajectory
- **Returns result** A SimulationData filled with the provided ensemble and system objects as well as the trajectory data found in the edr and trr / gro files.

Return type SimulationData

CHAPTER

SIXTEEN

# PHYSICAL\_VALIDATION.UTIL SUBPACKAGE

*bool*)  $\rightarrow$  float

Warning: This subpackage is intended for internal use. Documentation and input validation is reduced.

# 16.1 physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy module

This module contains low-level functionality of the *physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy* module. The functions in this module should generally not be called directly. Please use the high-level functions from *physical\_validation.kinetic energy*.

physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy.is\_close(value1: float, value2: float, relative\_tolerance: float = le-09, absolute\_tolerance: float = le-09)  $\rightarrow$  bool Whether two float values are close, as defined by a given relative and absolute tolerance.

Checks if a kinetic energy trajectory is Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed.

- **kin** Kinetic energy snapshots of the system.
- **temp** Target temperature of the system. Used to construct the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.
- **ndof** Number of degrees of freedom in the system. Used to construct the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.
- **kb** Boltzmann constant  $k_B$ .
- **verbosity** 0: Silent. 1: Print minimal information. 2: Print result details. 3: Print additional information.
- screen Plot distributions on screen.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. If None, no plotting.
- ene\_unit Energy unit used for output only.
- temp\_unit Temperature unit used for output only.
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed

up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.

**Returns** The p value of the test.

Return type result

#### See also:

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution High-level version

Calculates the mean and standard deviation of a trajectory (+ bootstrap error estimates), and compares them to the theoretically expected values.

### Parameters

- **kin** Kinetic energy snapshots of the system.
- **temp** Target temperature of the system. Used to construct the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.
- **ndof** Number of degrees of freedom in the system. Used to construct the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.
- **kb** Boltzmann constant  $k_B$ .
- **verbosity** 0: Silent. 1: Print minimal information. 2: Print result details. 3: Print additional information.
- **bs\_repetitions** Number of bootstrap samples used for error estimate.
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping. If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. If *None*, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- **screen** Plot distributions on screen.
- **filename** Plot distributions to *filename*. If *None*, no plotting.
- ene\_unit Energy unit used for output only.
- temp\_unit Temperature unit used for output only.
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.
- **Returns** Distance of the estimated T(mu) and T(sigma) from the expected temperature, measured in standard deviations of the estimates.

#### Return type result

### See also:

physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.distribution High-level version

Optional[str], bootstrap\_seed: Optional[int], data\_is\_uncorrelated: bool) → Tuple[Union[List[float], List[Tuple[float, float]]], List[Dict[str, float]], List[List[Dict[str, float]]]]

physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy.check\_equipartition(positions: numpy.ndarray, velocities: numpy.ndarray, masses: numpy.ndarray, molec idx: *numpy.ndarray, molec\_nbonds:* numpy.ndarray, natoms: int, nmolecs: int, temp: float, kb: float, strict: bool, ndof reduction tra: float, ndof\_reduction\_rot: float, molec\_groups: Optional[List[numpy.ndarray]], random\_divisions: int, random\_groups: int, random\_division\_seed: Optional[int], ndof\_molec: Optional/List/Dict[str, float]]], kin\_molec: *Optional*[*List*[*List*[*Dict*[*str*, *float*]]]], verbosity: int, screen: bool, filename: Optional[str], ene unit: *Optional[str]*, *temp unit:* 

Checks the equipartition of a simulation trajectory.

- **positions** (*array-like* (*nframes x natoms x 3*)) 3d array containing the positions of all atoms for all frames
- **velocities** (*array-like* (*nframes x natoms x 3*)) 3d array containing the velocities of all atoms for all frames
- masses (array-like (natoms x 1)) 1d array containing the masses of all atoms
- molec\_idx (array-like (nmolecs x 1)) Index of first atom for every molecule
- molec\_nbonds (array-like (nmolecs x 1)) Number of bonds for every molecule
- **natoms** Number of atoms in the system
- nmolecs Number of molecules in the system
- **temp** Target temperature of the simulation.
- **kb** Boltzmann constant  $k_B$ .
- **strict** If True, check full kinetic energy distribution via K-S test. Otherwise, check mean and width of kinetic energy distribution.
- ndof\_reduction\_tra Number of center-of-mass translational degrees of freedom to remove.
- ndof\_reduction\_rot Number of center-of-mass rotational degrees of freedom to remove.
- molec\_groups (List[array-like] (ngroups x ?), optional) List of 1d arrays containing molecule indeces defining groups. Useful to pre-define groups of molecules (e.g. solute / solvent, liquid mixture species, ...). If None, no pre-defined molecule groups will be tested.

*Note:* If an empty 1d array is found as last element in the list, the remaining molecules are collected in this array. This allows, for example, to only specify the solute, and indicate the solvent by giving an empty array.

- random\_divisions Number of random division tests attempted.
- random\_groups Number of groups the system is randomly divided in.
- **random\_division\_seed** Seed making the random divisions reproducible. If *None*, random divisions not reproducible
- **ndof\_molec** Pass in the degrees of freedom per molecule. Slightly increases speed of repeated analysis of the same simulation run.
- **kin\_molec** Pass in the kinetic energy per molecule. Greatly increases speed of repeated analysis of the same simulation run.
- **verbosity** Verbosity level, where 0 is quiet and 3 very chatty.
- screen Plot distributions on screen. Default: False.
- **filename** Plot distributions to *filename*. If *None*, no plotting.
- ene\_unit Energy unit used for output only.
- temp\_unit Temperature unit used for output only.
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping (if strict=False). If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. If *None*, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- data\_is\_uncorrelated Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.

#### Returns

- **result** (*List[float]* or *List[Tuple[float]]*) If *strict=True*: The p value for every test. If *strict=False*: Distance of the estimated T(mu) and T(sigma) from the expected temperature, measured in standard deviations of the respective estimate, for every test.
- **ndof\_molec** (*List[dict]*) List of the degrees of freedom per molecule. Can be saved to increase speed of repeated analysis of the same simulation run.
- **kin\_molec** (*List[List[dict]]*) List of the kinetic energy per molecule per frame. Can be saved to increase speed of repeated analysis of the same simulation run.

#### See also:

#### physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy.check\_equipartition High-level version

physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy.calc\_ndof(natoms: int, nmolecs: int, molec\_idx:

numpy.ndarray, molec\_nbonds: numpy.ndarray,

ndof\_reduction\_tra: float, ndof\_reduction\_rot:

#### *float*) $\rightarrow$ List[Dict[str, float]]

Calculates the total / translational / rotational & internal / rotational / internal degrees of freedom per molecule.

- natoms Total number of atoms in the system
- **nmolecs** Total number of molecules in the system
- molec\_idx Index of first atom for every molecule

- molec\_nbonds Number of bonds for every molecule
- ndof\_reduction\_tra Number of center-of-mass translational degrees of freedom tobremove.
- ndof\_reduction\_rot Number of center-of-mass rotational degrees of freedom to remove.
- **Returns** List of dictionaries containing the degrees of freedom for each molecule Keys: ['total', 'translational', 'rotational and internal', 'rotational', 'internal']

### Return type ndof\_molec

Calculates the total / translational / rotational & internal / rotational / internal kinetic energy per molecule.

#### Parameters

- **pos** (nd-array (natoms x 3)) 2d array containing the positions of all atoms
- vel (nd-array (natoms x 3)) 2d array containing the velocities of all atoms
- masses (nd-array (natoms x 1)) 1d array containing the masses of all atoms
- molec\_idx (*nd-array* (*nmolecs* x 1)) Index of first atom for every molecule
- natoms Total number of atoms in the system
- nmolecs Total number of molecules in the system

**Returns** Dictionary of lists containing the kinetic energies for each molecule Keys: ['total', 'translational', 'rotational and internal', 'rotational', 'internal']

#### Return type kin

physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy.group\_kinetic\_energy(kin\_molec: Dict[str,

*numpy.ndarray*], *nmolecs: int*, *molec\_group=typing.Optional[typing.Iterable[int]]*) → Dict[str, float]

Sums up the partitioned kinetic energy for a given group or the entire system.

#### **Parameters**

- kin\_molec Partitioned kinetic energies per molecule.
- **nmolecs** Total number of molecules in the system.
- molec\_group Indices of the group to be summed up. None defaults to all molecules in the system.

Returns kin – Dictionary of partitioned kinetic energy for the group.

Return type dict

physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy.group\_ndof(ndof\_molec: List[Dict[str, float]], nmolecs: int,

molec\_group=typing.Optional[typing.Iterable[int]])

### $\rightarrow$ Dict[str, float]

Sums up the partitioned degrees of freedom for a given group or the entire system.

- ndof\_molec Partitioned degrees of freedom per molecule.
- **nmolecs** Total number of molecules in the system.
- **molec\_group** Indeces of the group to be summed up. None defaults to all molecules in the system.

Returns ndof – Dictionary of partitioned degrees of freedom for the group.

#### Return type dict

physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy.test\_group(kin\_molec: List[Dict[str, numpy.ndarray]],

 $ndof\_molec:$  List[Dict[str, float]], nmolecs: int, temp: float, kb: float, dict\_keys: List[str], strict: bool, group: Optional[Iterable[int]], verbosity: int, screen: bool, filename: Optional[str], ene\_unit: Optional[str], temp\_unit: Optional[str], bootstrap\_seed: Optional[int], data\_is\_uncorrelated: bool)  $\rightarrow$  Union[List[float], List[Tuple[float, float]]]

Tests if the partitioned kinetic energy trajectory of a group (or, if group is None, of the entire system) are separately Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed.

#### Parameters

- **kin\_molec** Partitioned kinetic energies per molecule for every frame.
- **ndof\_molec** Partitioned degrees of freedom per molecule.
- nmolecs Total number of molecules in the system.
- **temp** Target temperature of the simulation.
- **kb** Boltzmann constant  $k_B$ .
- dict\_keys List of dictionary keys representing the partitions of the degrees of freedom.
- **strict** If True, check full kinetic energy distribution via K-S test. Otherwise, check mean and width of kinetic energy distribution.
- group Indices of the group to be tested. None defaults to all molecules in the system.
- verbosity Verbosity level, where 0 is quiet and 3 very chatty.
- screen Plot distributions on screen.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. If None, no plotting.
- ene\_unit Energy unit used for output only.
- temp\_unit Temperature unit used for output only.
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping (if strict=False). If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. If *None*, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.
- **Returns** p value for every partition (strict) or tuple of distance of estimated T(mu) and T(sigma) for every partition (non-strict)

### Return type result

# 16.2 physical\_validation.util.ensemble module

This file reimplements most functionality of the checkensemble.py code originally published on https://github.com/ shirtsgroup/checkensemble. It serves as the low-level functionality of the high-level module *physical\_validation*. *ensemble*.

physical\_validation.util.ensemble.pymbar\_bar(*work\_forward: numpy.ndarray, work\_backward: numpy.ndarray*) → Dict[str, float]

Wrapper around the pymbar BAR functionality, allowing the remaining code to be agnostic of the pymbar version used.

Parameters

• work\_forward (Array of forward work values) -

• work\_backward (Array of backward work values) -

Returns

Return type A dictionary containing the free energy estimate and its error estimate

Calculates the chemical potential energy of a trajectory by returning the sum of the current number of species multiplied by the chemical potential.

physical\_validation.util.ensemble.generate\_histograms(*traj1: numpy.ndarray, traj2: numpy.ndarray, g1: float, g2: float, bins: numpy.ndarray*)  $\rightarrow$ Tuple[numpy.ndarray, numpy.ndarray, numpy.ndarray]

physical\_validation.util.ensemble.checkensemble\_solver(fun, x0, args, jac, hess, tol=1e-10, maxiter=20)  $\rightarrow$ 

scipy.optimize.\_optimize.OptimizeResult

*dtempdpress: bool, dtempdmu: bool*)  $\rightarrow$  None

physical\_validation.util.ensemble.check\_bins(*traj1: numpy.ndarray, traj2: numpy.ndarray, bins: numpy.ndarray*) → numpy.ndarray

  $\label{eq:physical_validation.util.ensemble.estimate_interval(ens_string: str, ens_temp: float, energy: numpy.ndarray, kb: float, ens_press: Optional[float], volume: Optional[float], volume: Optional[float], ens_mu: Optional[float], ens_mu: Optional[numpy.ndarray], species_number: Optional[numpy.ndarray], verbosity: int, cutoff: float, tunit: str, punit: str, munit: str, data_is_uncorrelated: bool) \rightarrow Dict[str, Union[float, List[float]]]$ 

Checks whether the energy trajectories of two simulation performed at different temperatures have sampled distributions at the analytically expected ratio.

### Parameters

- **traj1** Trajectory of the first simulation If dtemp:
  - NVT: Potential energy U or total energy E = U + K
  - NPT: Enthalpy H = U + pV or total energy E = H + K

If dpress:

- NPT: Volume V
- traj2 Trajectory of the second simulation If dtemp:
  - NVT: Potential energy U or total energy E = U + K
  - NPT: Enthalpy H = U + pV or total energy E = H + K

If dpress:

- NPT: Volume V
- param1 Target temperature or pressure of the first simulation
- param2 Target temperature or pressure of the second simulation
- kb Boltzmann constant in same units as the energy trajectories
- **quantity** Name of quantity analyzed (used for printing only)
- dtemp Set to True if trajectories were simulated at different temperature
- dpress Set to True if trajectories were simulated at different pressure
- **temp** The temperature in equal temperature, differing pressure NPT simulations. Needed to print optimal dP.
- **pvconvert** Conversion from pressure \* volume to energy units. Needed to print optimal dP.
- dmu Set to True if trajectories were simulated at different chemical potential
- nbins Number of bins used to assess distributions of the trajectories

- cutoff Tail cutoff of distributions.
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping. If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. If *None*, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- bootstrap\_error Calculate the standard error via bootstrap resampling
- bootstrap\_repetitions Number of bootstrap repetitions drawn
- verbosity Verbosity level.
- screen Plot distributions on screen.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. If None, no plotting.
- **xlabel** x-axis label used for plotting
- xunit x-axis label unit used for plotting
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid.

#### Returns

Return type The number of quantiles the computed result is off the analytical one.

Checks whether the energy trajectories of two simulation performed at different temperatures have sampled distributions at the analytically expected ratio.

#### Parameters

- traj1 Trajectory of the first simulation If dtempdpress:
  - traj[0,:]: Potential energy U or total energy E = U + K
  - traj[1,:]: Volume V
- traj2 Trajectory of the second simulation If dtempdpress:
  - traj[0,:]: Potential energy U or total energy E = U + K
  - traj[1,:]: Volume V
- param1 -

If dtempdpress: Target temperature and pressure of the first simulation

• param2 -

If dtempdpress: Target temperature and pressure of the first simulation

- kb Boltzmann constant in same units as the energy trajectories
- pvconvert Conversion from pressure \* volume to energy units
- **quantity** Names of quantities analyzed (used for printing only)

- dtempdpress Set to True if trajectories were simulated at different temperature and pressure
- dtempdmu Set to True if trajectories were simulated at different temperature and chemical potential
- **cutoff** Tail cutoff of distributions.
- **bootstrap\_seed** Sets the random number seed for bootstrapping. If set, bootstrapping will be reproducible. If *None*, bootstrapping is non-reproducible.
- bootstrap\_error Calculate the standard error via bootstrap resampling
- bootstrap\_repetitions Number of bootstrap repetitions drawn
- **verbosity** Verbosity level.
- screen Plot distributions on screen.
- filename Plot distributions to *filename*. If None, no plotting.
- **data\_is\_uncorrelated** Whether the provided data is uncorrelated. If this option is set, the equilibration, decorrelation and tail pruning of the trajectory is skipped. This can speed up the analysis, but note that if the provided data is correlated, the results of the physical validation checks might be invalid. Default: False

### Returns

Return type The number of quantiles the computed result is off the analytical one.

### 16.3 physical\_validation.util.integrator module

This module contains low-level functionality of the *physical\_validation.integrator* module. The functions in this module should generally not be called directly. Please use the high-level functions from *physical\_validation.integrator*.

physical\_validation.util.integrator.calculate\_rmsd(data: numpy.ndarray, time:

*Optional[numpy.ndarray]*)  $\rightarrow$  Tuple[float, float, float]

 $physical_validation.util.integrator.max\_deviation(dts: numpy.ndarray, rmsds: numpy.ndarray) \rightarrow float$ 

physical\_validation.util.integrator.check\_convergence(const\_traj: Dict[str, numpy.ndarray], convergence\_test: Callable[[numpy.ndarray, numpy.ndarray], float], verbose: bool, screen: bool, filename: Optional[str]) → float

# 16.4 physical\_validation.util.trajectory module

- physical\_validation.util.trajectory.bootstrap(*traj: numpy.ndarray, n\_samples: int*)  $\rightarrow$  Iterator[numpy.ndarray]

# 16.5 physical\_validation.util.plot module

```
\begin{aligned} & \texttt{physical_validation.util.plot.plot}(res: List[Dict[str, Union[numpy.ndarray, str, Dict]]], legend: \\ & Optional[str] = None, title: Optional[str] = None, xlabel: \\ & Optional[str] = None, ylabel: Optional[str] = None, xlim: \\ & Optional[Tuple[float, float]] = None, ylim: Optional[Tuple[float, float]] = None, inv_x: bool = False, inv_y: bool = False, sci_x: bool = False, sci_y: bool = False, axtext: Optional[str] = None, \\ & annotation_location: Optional[str] = None, screen: bool = False, \\ & flename: Optional[str] = None, screen: bool = True) \rightarrow None \end{aligned}
```

# 16.6 physical\_validation.util.error module

Module containing the custom exception classes for the physical\_validation package.

- **exception** physical\_validation.util.error.**PhysicalValidationError** Base class for exceptions in the physical\_validation module.
- **exception** physical\_validation.util.error.**InputError**(*argument*, *message*) Exception raised for input errors
- **exception** physical\_validation.util.error.**FileFormatError**(*argument*, *message*) Exception raised for files not following expected format

# 16.7 physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface module

GROMACS python interface.

**Warning:** This is a mere place holder, as an official python API is currently being developed by the gromacs development team. It is probably neither especially elegant nor especially safe. Use of this module in any remotely critical application is strongly discouraged.

property exe: str

exe is a string pointing to the gmx executable.

property double: bool

double is a bool defining whether the simulation was ran at double precision

property includepath: List[str]
 includepath defines a path the parser looks for system files

get\_quantities(edr: str, quantities: List[str], cwd: Optional[str] = None, begin: Optional[float] = None, end: Optional[float] = None, args: Optional[List[str]] = None) → Dict[str, numpy.ndarray]

**read\_trr**(*trr: str*) → Dict[str, Optional[Union[numpy.ndarray, *physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.RectangularBox*]]]

**static read\_gro**(*gro: str*) → Dict[str, Optional[Union[numpy.ndarray, *physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.RectangularBox*]]]

**static read\_mdp**(*mdp: str*) → Dict[str, str]

static write\_mdp(options: Dict[str, str], mdp: str)

**read\_system\_from\_top**(*top: str, define: Optional[str]* = None, *include: Optional[str]* = None)  $\rightarrow$  List[Dict[str, Any]]

 $grompp(mdp: str, top: str, gro: str, tpr: Optional[str] = None, cwd: str = '.', args: Optional[List[str]] = None, stdin: Union[None, int, IO[Any]] = None, stdout: Union[None, int, IO[Any]] = None, stderr: Union[None, int, IO[Any]] = None) \rightarrow int$ 

**mdrun**(*tpr: str, edr: Optional*[*str*] = *None, deffnm: Optional*[*str*] = *None, cwd: str* = '.', *args: Optional*[*List*[*str*]] = *None, stdin: Union*[*None, int, IO*[*Any*]] = *None, stdout: Union*[*None, int,*  $IO[Any]] = None, stderr: Union[None, int, IO[Any]] = None, mpicmd: Optional[str] = None) \rightarrow int$ 

### CHAPTER

# SEVENTEEN

# **INDICES AND TABLES**

- genindex
- modindex
- search

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [Merz2018] Merz PT, Shirts MR (2018) "Testing for physical validity in molecular simulations", PLOS ONE 13(9): e0202764. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202764
- [Shirts2013] Shirts, M.R. "Simple Quantitative Tests to Validate Sampling from Thermodynamic Ensembles", J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9 (2), pp 909–926, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ct300688p

## **PYTHON MODULE INDEX**

### р

physical\_validation, 57 physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data,67 physical\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser,72 physical\_validation.data.gromacs\_parser,71 physical\_validation.data.observable\_data,68 physical\_validation.data.parser,71 physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data,63 physical\_validation.data.system\_data, 69 physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data, 67 physical\_validation.data.unit\_data,65 physical\_validation.ensemble, 60 physical\_validation.integrator, 61 physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy, 57 physical\_validation.util.ensemble, 81 physical\_validation.util.error,85 physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface, 85 physical\_validation.util.integrator, 84 physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy, 75 physical\_validation.util.plot, 85 physical\_validation.util.trajectory, 84

# **INDEX**

physi-

physi-

physi-

physi-

module

module

module

module

(in

(in

cal\_validation.util.trajectory), 84

(in

cal\_validation.integrator), 61

(in cal\_validation.util.trajectory), 84

# В

bonds ( <i>physical_v</i>	alidation.da	ta.system_data.S	SystemData
property	), 71		
bootstrap()	(in	module	physi-
cal_valid	lation.util.tr	ajectory), 85	
how (nhusiaal uali	dation data	trainatory data	Dootanoula

box (physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.RectangularBox property), 67

# С

		cal_validation.kinetic_energy), 57
<pre>calc_molec_kinetic_energy() (in module ph</pre>	hysi-	do_linear_fit() (in module physi-
cal_validation.util.kinetic_energy), 79		cal_validation.util.ensemble), 81
· · · · ·	hysi-	do_max_likelihood_fit() (in module physi-
cal_validation.util.kinetic_energy), 78		
	hysi-	cal_validation.util.ensemble), 81
cal_validation.util.integrator), 84		double (physical_validation.util.gromacs_interface.GromacsInterface
check() (in module physical_validation.ensemble), 6	60	property), 85
check_1d() (in module ph	hysi-	dt (physical_validation.data.simulation_data.SimulationData
cal_validation.util.ensemble), 82		property), 64
check_2d() (in module ph	hysi-	F
cal_validation.util.ensemble), 83		E
check_bins() (in module ph	hysi-	energy (physical_validation.data.ensemble_data.EnsembleData
cal_validation.util.ensemble), 81		property), 67
<pre>check_convergence() (in module ph</pre>	hysi-	energy_conversion (physi-
cal_validation.util.integrator), 84		cal_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData
	hysi-	property), 66
cal_validation.util.kinetic_energy), 75		<pre>energy_str(physical_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData</pre>
check_equipartition() (in module ph	hysi-	property), 65
cal_validation.util.kinetic_energy), 76		ensemble (physical_validation.data.ensemble_data.EnsembleData
	hysi-	property), 67
cal_validation.util.kinetic_energy), 76		ensemble (physical_validation.data.simulation_data.SimulationData
	hysi-	property), 63
cal_validation.util.ensemble), 81	•	EnsembleData (class in physi-
<pre>chemical_potential_energy() (in module ph</pre>	hvsi-	cal_validation.data.ensemble_data), 67
cal_validation.util.ensemble), 81		ensembles() (physical_validation.data.ensemble_data.EnsembleData
<pre>compatible() (physical_validation.data.simulation_</pre>		
static method), 63		equilibrate() (in module physi-
	hysi-	cal_validation.util.trajectory), 84
		Aquipartition() (in module physi-
property), 69		cal_validation.kinetic_energy), 58
	hysi-	estimate_interval() (in module physi-
cal_validation.data.system_data.SystemDat	~	cal_validation.ensemble), 60
property), 71		<u></u>
<i>property)</i> , <i>i</i> 1		

convergence()

cut\_tails()

decorrelate()

distribution()

physiestimate\_interval() module (in cal validation.util.ensemble), 81 length\_conversion (physiexe (physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface.GromacsInterface cal validation.data.unit data.UnitData property), 85 property), 66 length\_str(physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData F property), 66 FileFormatError, 85 FlatfileParser physi-Μ (class in cal\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser), 72 mass (physical\_validation.data.system\_data.SystemData property), 70 G max\_deviation() (in module physigather() (physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.RectangularBox cal\_validation.util.integrator), 84 method), 67 mdrun() (physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface.GromacsInterface generate\_histograms() physi-(in module method), 86 cal\_validation.util.ensemble), 81 module get\_quantities() (physiphysical\_validation, 57 cal\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface.GromacsInterface.physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data, method), 86 67 get\_simulation\_data() (physiphysical\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser, cal\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser.FlatfileParser 72 method), 72 physical\_validation.data.gromacs\_parser, get\_simulation\_data() (physi-71 cal\_validation.data.gromacs\_parser.GromacsParser physical\_validation.data.observable\_data, method), 71 68 get\_simulation\_data() (physiphysical\_validation.data.parser,71 cal\_validation.data.parser.Parser *method*), physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data, 71 63 GromacsInterface (class in physiphysical\_validation.data.system\_data, 69 cal\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface), 85 physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data, GromacsParser physi-(class in 67 cal\_validation.data.gromacs\_parser), 71 physical\_validation.data.unit\_data, 65 grompp() (physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface.Gromacs physical\_validation.ensemble, 60 method), 86 physical\_validation.integrator, 61 group\_kinetic\_energy() module physi-(in physical\_validation.kinetic\_energy, 57 cal\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy), 79 physical\_validation.util.ensemble.81 group\_ndof() (in module physiphysical\_validation.util.error, 85 cal\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy), 79 physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface, 85 physical\_validation.util.integrator, 84 includepath(physical\_validation.util.gromacs\_interface.Gromghygrefacevalidation.util.kinetic\_energy, property), 85 75 InputError, 85 physical\_validation.util.plot, 85 module is\_close() (in physiphysical\_validation.util.trajectory, 84 cal validation.util.kinetic energy), 75 molecule\_idx (physical\_validation.data.system\_data.SystemData property), 70 Κ mu (physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data.EnsembleData kb (physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData propproperty), 67 ertv), 65 Ν (physikinetic\_energy cal\_validation.data.observable\_data.ObservableData\_\_\_\_\_\_\_naloms (physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data.EnsembleData property), 68 property), 67 kinetic\_energy\_per\_molecule (physinatoms (physical\_validation.data.system\_data.SystemData cal\_validation.data.observable\_data.ObservableData property), 70 property), 69

<pre>nconstraints(physical_validation.data.system_data.Sys</pre>	
property), 70	physical_validation.integrator
nconstraints_per_molecule (physi-	module, 61
cal_validation.data.system_data.SystemData	physical_validation.kinetic_energy
property), 70	module, 57
ndof_per_molecule (physi-	physical_validation.util.ensemble
cal_validation.data.system_data.SystemData	module, 81
property), 70	physical_validation.util.error
ndof_reduction_rot (physi-	module, 85
cal_validation.data.system_data.SystemData	<pre>physical_validation.util.gromacs_interface</pre>
property), 70	module, 85
ndof_reduction_tra (physi-	physical_validation.util.integrator
cal_validation.data.system_data.SystemData	module, 84
property), 70	physical_validation.util.kinetic_energy
nframes (physical_validation.data.observable_data.Obs	rvable <b>Adu</b> le, 75
property), 69	physical_validation.util.plot
number_of_species (physi-	module, 85
cal_validation.data.observable_data.Observable	Dunusical_validation.util.trajectory
property), 69	module, 84
	PhysicalValidationError, 85
0	<pre>plot() (in module physical_validation.util.plot), 85</pre>
ObservableData (class in physi-	
cal_validation.data.observable_data), 68	property), 68
observables (physical_validation.data.simulation_data.S	
property), 63	cal_validation.data.observable_data.ObservableData
observables() (physi-	property), 68
cal_validation.data.observable_data.Observable	
static method), 68	cal_validation.util.trajectory), 84
	pressure (physical_validation.data.ensemble_data.EnsembleData
	property), 67
cal_validation.util.trajectory), 84	pressure (physical_validation.data.observable_data.ObservableData
Р	property), 69
	pressure_conversion (physi-
Parser (class in physical_validation.data.parser), 71	cal_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData
physical_validation	property), 66
module, 57	property), 66 pressure_str(physical_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData
physical_validation.data.ensemble_data	
modulo 67	property), 66

module, 67 print\_stats() physical\_validation.data.flatfile\_parser cal\_validation.util.ensemble), 81 module, 72 pymbar\_bar() physical\_validation.data.gromacs\_parser cal\_validation.util.ensemble), 81

physical\_validation.data.observable\_data

R

module, 68 physical\_validation.data.parser module, 71 physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data module, 63 physical\_validation.data.system\_data

module, 69 physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data

module, 67 physical\_validation.data.unit\_data

module, 65 physical\_validation.ensemble raise\_if\_ensemble\_is\_invalid() (physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData method), 64

module

module

(in

(in

raise\_if\_observable\_data\_is\_invalid() (physi $cal\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData$ method), 65

raise\_if\_system\_data\_is\_invalid() (physi $cal\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData$ method), 64

raise\_if\_trajectory\_data\_is\_invalid() (physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData

module, 71

physi-

physi-

method), 65	U
<pre>raise_if_units_are_none() (physi- cal_validation.data.simulation_data.SimulationI</pre>	UnitData (class in physical_validation.data.unit_data), Data 65
<pre>method), 64 read_gro() (physical_validation.util.gromacs_interface.C)</pre>	units(physical_validation.data.simulation_data.SimulationData GromacsInterfagerty), 63
static method), 86 read_mdp() (physical_validation.util.gromacs_interface.C	units() (physical_validation.data.gromacs_parser.GromacsParser GromacsInterface method), 71
static method), 86 read_system_from_top() (physi-	units() (physical_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData class method), 65
cal_validation.util.gromacs_interface.GromacsIn method), 86	V
memou); 00	GromacsInterface velocity (physical_validation.data.trajectory_data.TrajectoryData property), 68
RectangularBox (class in physi- cal_validation.data.trajectory_data), 67	volume (physical_validation.data.ensemble_data.EnsembleData property), 67
S (1.1)	volume (physical_validation.data.observable_data.ObservableData property), 69
<pre>set_ensemble() (physi- cal_validation.data.simulation_data.SimulationL method), 64</pre>	volume_conversion (physi- Data cal_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData property), 66
SimulationData (class in physi- cal_validation.data.simulation_data), 63	volume_str(physical_validation.data.unit_data.UnitData property), 66
system (physical_validation.data.simulation_data.Simulat property), 64	VionData W
SystemData (class in physi- cal_validation.data.system_data), 69	<pre>write_mdp() (physical_validation.util.gromacs_interface.GromacsInterface static method), 86</pre>

# Т

temperature(physical\_validation.data.ensemble\_data.EnsembleData property), 67 temperature(physical\_validation.data.observable\_data.ObservableData property), 69 temperature\_conversion (physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData property), 66 temperature\_str (physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData property), 66 test\_group() (in module physical\_validation.util.kinetic\_energy), 80 time\_conversion (physi $cal\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData$ property), 66 time\_str (physical\_validation.data.unit\_data.UnitData property), 66  $\verb+total_energy (physical_validation.data.observable_data.ObservableData$ property), 69 trajectories() (physical\_validation.data.trajectory\_data.TrajectoryData static method), 68 trajectory (physical\_validation.data.simulation\_data.SimulationData property), 64 TrajectoryData (class physiin cal\_validation.data.trajectory\_data), 67